



**Urgent**

**Date: August 17, 2024**

To,

**Shri K. Sreenivasa Reddy (IPS)**

Commissioner of Police, Hyderabad City

[cp@hyd.tspolice.gov.in](mailto:cp@hyd.tspolice.gov.in)

**Shri Vikram Singh Mann (IPS)**

ACP, (Law & Order) Hyderabad City

[addlcp\\_lo@hyd.tspolice.gov.in](mailto:addlcp_lo@hyd.tspolice.gov.in)

**Shri Akshansh Yadav (IPS)**

DCP, Central Zone, Hyderabad City

[dcp\\_cz@hyd.tspolice.gov.in](mailto:dcp_cz@hyd.tspolice.gov.in)

**Shri Anudeep Durishetty (IAS)**

Collector and District Magistrate, Hyderabad

[collector\\_hyd@telangana.gov.in](mailto:collector_hyd@telangana.gov.in)

**Subject: Citizens Petition seeking immediate preventive action against the “Hindu Rashtra Jagruti Andolan” organized by Hindu Janajagruti Samiti, that will be going to held on August 17, 2024 at 3 PM at Dharna Chowk, Indira Park, Neat NTR gardens in Hyderabad, Telangana**

**Dear Sirs,**

We, at Citizens for Justice and peace (CJP), are extremely concerned about a proposed and published event of “**Hindu Rashtra Jagruti Andolan**” organized by Hindu Janajagruti Samiti that will be going to held on August 17, 2024 at Dharna Chowk, Indira Park, Near NTR Gardens, Hyderabad (Telangana). According to the information available on the scheduled poster of the event, the said event is going to be held at Dharna Chowk, Indira Park, Near NTR Gardens, Hyderabad. The said event is being called as “Hindu Rashtra Jagruti Andolan”. **It will be held TODAY at 3 PM.** While there is no information that has been available on their website regarding the people who have been invited to speak at this said event, it is near certain that hate-ridden and provocative speeches will be delivered and calls for violence will be made, given the recent track record of the organization and the “principles” that they stand for. In this citizen’s petition we have cited recent orders of the *Hon’ble Supreme Court on steps that need to be taken, especially pre-emptive ones.*

As a civil rights group, committed to maintaining harmony and social peace, we urge that adequate preventive action is taken under the law. Sirs, we are stating this on the basis of the many orders of the Supreme Court on the issue of hate speech and peaceful conduct of rallies, wherein directives have been issued to the police to take preventive action based on the history and politics of the people attached to the organization that are organizing the event/rally and ensure that no communally divisive statements or violence take place. Such gatherings, wherein inegalitarian, divisive and stigmatizing words are uttered and further communal violence is provoked, results in

violation of the fundamental rights guaranteed to citizens under Articles 14, 15, 16, 21 and 25 as well as provisions of the Indian criminal law.

Sirs, it is relevant to mention here that recently the Mahim Police (Maharashtra) had denied permission for Hindu Janjagruti Samiti Morcha in Mumbai, which was scheduled for August 10. On August 9, a citizens' delegation led by CJP had gone to meet Senior Police Inspector, Mahim Police Station, Sudhakar Shirsath, to seek immediate preventive action against Hindu Janjagruti Samiti's August 10 rally, where we were informed that permission for the rally had been denied in the interests of preserving communal harmony. **Once again, we urge you to deny permission for the scheduled August 17 at 3 PM event in Hyderabad and set an example for the anti-social elements who are trying to disturb communal peace and harmony. Furthermore, we request the police authorities to remain vigilant and ensure that the rally does not take place if the permission is denied for the same.**

The poster of the event can be found below:



17 AUG  
2024

**Hindu Rashtra Jagruti Andolan**  
Bhagyanagar, Telangana  
3:00 pm

Dharna chowk, Indira park, near NTR gardens

 [Join Us](#)

### **Divisive, majoritarian background of the HJS**

Sirs, Madam, we have been monitoring the website of Hindu Janajagruti Samiti, many events for the month of August may be planned in various parts of Maharashtra also. The event planned in Hyderabad, Hindu Rashtra Jagruti Andolan, is to take place on August 17, 2024 at 3 PM. The link of their website: <https://www.hindujagruti.org/events>

The HJS runs a campaign advocating for the passing of anti-Love Jihad laws in across the country. Extremist Right-wing Hindu groups have been using the term “love-Jihad” loosely now, wielded by an aggressive majoritarianism, woven into a dominant caste Hindu narrative of religious extremism, Islamophobia, and communal hatred. It has also been provided on their website that HJS has been conducting regular workshops to make Hindus “aware” of the consequences of autonomous and free choice marriages, derogatively and provocatively termed ‘Love Jihad.’ This Right-wing extremist organisation has also organized various events in the past advocating for the

boycott of Halal and the economic boycott of Muslims in India. Other than this, their websites have also provided a communal colour to issues such as religious conversions and cow slaughter.

It is pertinent to note, that in the current environment of oppression and otherisation of the Muslim community, such religious issues when portrayed in a prejudicial or hysterical way, without any rational basis to that questioning, with an intention to pitch views of only one segment/community amounts to stigmatizing of an already marginalized section.

### Previous speakers at HJS events

Many notorious hate offenders and hate speakers, such as T. Raja, Pramod Muthalik, Meenakshi Sharan, H.H. Sambhajirao Bhide, Kalicharan Maharaj and Suresh Chavhanke, have been associated with HJS, and participated in their activities. A post of last year shows that all these hate offenders, and more, got together and participated in an event organised by the HJS for the establishment of Hindu Rashtra.



In another tweet, it was also found that notorious hate offender Sambhaji Bhide had also been a speaker at one of the events organised by the HJS in the past, which advocated for the boycott of Halal Products.



Sambhaji Bhide, along with Milind Ekbote, stands accused of inciting Bhima Koregaon violence by giving inciteful and hate speeches just before the event which was the bi-centenary of the Battle of Bhima Koregaon. He is a controversial figure who has been active in propagating militant Hindutva ideology and building Shiv Pratishtan Hindustan. He has been rather active in the past

three decades in supposedly 'spreading awareness' on the life of Maratha warrior king Shivaji.

A former Rashtriya Swayamsevak Sangh (RSS) worker, he also faces charges of instigating communal riots in Miraj-Sangli in Sangli district during Ganpati emersion, over an arch sporting a poster depicting the slaying of Afzal Khan. He also played a major role when his organisation ransacked theatres to protest the film, Jodha Akbar in 2008.

In view of the state-wide attempts to stigmatise India's minorities and even provoke violence we strongly urge the Hyderabad police to do everything in their capacity to prevent this event and rally which could do much damage to not just the secular fabric of the society but also to communal harmony in Hyderabad and state of Telangana as a whole.

As members of the Indian Police Forces (IPS) and bureaucracy (IAS officers), our Constitution states that once the uniform is donned on by an individual, they are wedded to ensure that the remit of the Constitution rules.

Hindu Janajagruti Samiti has been notorious for organizing events and calling people who are known to deliver hate speeches in the past. It is clear from the history of events organized by Hindu Janajagruti Samiti in the recent past and the history of hate offences associated with them and participate in their activities that similar speeches will be made and derogatory comments that will tend to disturb the peace and harmony and with tendency to disrupt law and order will be made.

**In the light of this, we urge you to take action as per Sections 130 (Police to prevent cognizable offences), 131 (Information of design to commit cognizable offences) and 132 (Arrest to prevent the commission of cognizable offences) of the BNSS and any other provisions of law, as deemed necessary by you.**

It is crucial to highlight here that HJS has been notorious for organizing such events and making controversial and derogatory statements amounting to hate speech against the Muslim minority community. This has grave potential of being blown up and leading to a law-and-order situation in the city, not just in the immediate future but collectively, as these events are held state-wide could have dangerous implications in the near future.

Thus, as peace-loving citizens of this diverse and harmonious place, we humbly urge you to take preventive action as per the law and the directions recently issued by the Supreme Court of India.

**Preventive Measures when Speakers & Organisers have a record of Provocative Hate Speech:**

We are sure that the Police is aware of a slew of directives that the Hon'ble Supreme Court has issued *time and again* for effective prevention of social disharmony, hate crimes and communal violence.

**These include:**

In *Mohd. Haroon and others v. Union of India* (2014) 5 SCC 252 and another, it has been clearly held that it is the responsibility of the State Administration in association with the intelligence agencies of both the State and the Centre to prevent recurrence of communal violence in any part of the State. If any officer responsible for maintaining law and order is found negligent, he/she should be brought within the ambit of law.

In *Firoz Iqbal Khan vs Union of India* [W.P (Civ.) No. 956 of 2020], the Supreme Court had held,

“..the edifice of a democratic society committed to the rule of law under a regime of constitutional rights, values and duties is founded on the co-existence of communities. India is a melting pot of civilizations, cultures, religions and languages. Any attempt to vilify a religious community must be viewed with grave disfavour by this Court as the custodian of constitutional values.”

In the case of *Tehseen Poonawalla v UOI and ors* (2018) 9 SCC 501 the Supreme Court held that, it is the responsibility of the States to prevent untoward incidents and to prevent crime. The court further given specific directions for the police to adopt preventative measures, in which a senior police officer, not below the rank of Superintendent of Police, shall work as Nodal Officer in each district. Such Nodal officers were then directed to constitute a special task force so as to procure intelligence reports about the people who are likely to commit such crimes, as mob violence and lynching, or who are involved in spreading hate speeches, provocative statements and fake news.

In addition to this, the Nodal Officer, along with the Station House officers, have been directed to take steps to prohibit instances of dissemination of offensive material through different social media platforms or any other means for inciting tendencies leading to mob-violence and lynching.

The court further said,

17. There can be no shadow of doubt that the authorities which are conferred with the responsibility to maintain law and order in the States have the principal obligation to see that vigilantism, be it cow vigilantism or any other vigilantism of any perception, does not take place. When any core group with some kind of idea take the law into their own hands, it ushers in anarchy, chaos, disorder and, eventually, there is an emergence of a violent society. Vigilantism cannot, by any stretch of imagination, be given room to take shape, for it is absolutely a perverse notion... No one has the authority to enter into the said field and harbour the feeling that he is the law and the punisher himself. A country where the rule of law prevails does not allow any such thought. It, in fact, commands for ostracisation of such thoughts with immediacy.

20. Hate crimes as a product of intolerance, ideological dominance and prejudice ought not to be tolerated; lest it results in a reign of terror. Extra judicial elements and non-State actors cannot be allowed to take the place of law or the law enforcing agency. A fabricated identity with bigoted approach sans acceptance of plurality and diversity results in provocative sentiments and display of reactionary retributive attitude transforming itself into dehumanisation of human beings. Such an atmosphere is one in which rational debate, logical discussion and sound administration of law eludes thereby manifesting clear danger to various freedoms including freedom of speech and expressions. One man's freedom of thought, action, speech, expression, belief, conscience and personal choices is not being tolerated by the other and this is due to lack of objective rationalisation of acts and situations.

In the case of *Amish Deygan vs Union of India* 2021 1 SCC 1, the Supreme Court quoted Benjamin Franklin, “It remains difficult in law to draw the outmost bounds of freedom of speech and expression, the limit beyond which the right would fall foul and can be subordinated to other democratic values and public law considerations, so as to constitute a criminal offence. The difficulty arises in ascertaining the legitimate countervailing public duty, and in proportionality and

reasonableness of the restriction which criminalizes written or spoken words. Further, criminalization of speech is often demarcated and delineated by the past and recent significant events affecting the nation including explanation of their causes. Therefore, constitutional and statutory treatment of ‘hate speech’ depends on the values sought to be promoted, perceived harm involved and the importance of these harms. Consequently, a universal definition of ‘hate speech’ remains difficult, except for one commonality that ‘incitement to violence’ is punishable.”

On February 26, the Navi Mumbai Commissioner of Police, Milind Bharambe, had videotaped the entire event organized by Sakal Hindu Samajh in Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra as per the orders of the Supreme Court. The content of the speeches given at the event are now being investigated on whether they can be classified as hate speeches. The decision of video-tapping the event came after Mr. Tushar Gandhi, president of the Mahatma Gandhi Foundation had written a preventive letter to the Navi Mumbai police seeking preventative measures, much like we are doing.

Importantly, on April 15 this year, the Calcutta High Court had restricted VHP rally on the occasion of Ram Navami by limiting the upper cap to 200 participants in the rally. The single judge bench of Justice Jay Sengupta had also asked the state and VHP to ensure that no provocative slogans were raised, and display of arms and use of DJs were strictly prohibited.

On August 2, 2023, the apex court while allowing the VHP rallies in Delhi-NCR region had strictly warned the police to take steps to prevent instances of any violence and hate speech at the rallies. The bench consisting Justices Sanjiv Khanna and S.V. Bhatti had told the authorities, “We hope and trust that the State Governments, including police authorities, will ensure that there are no hate speeches against any community and there is no violence or damage to properties. Wherever required, adequate police or paramilitary forces must be deployed. Further, authorities must make use of the CCTV cameras wherever installed, or make video recordings in all sensitive areas wherever required. The CCTV footage and the videos will be preserved”.

**We urge that Hyderabad Police follows these strict laid down preventive measures.**

**Recent Directions of the Supreme Court on Events after they Occur/are allowed:**

As you must know, the issue of rampant hate speech being delivered in Maharashtra and the inaction by law enforcement agencies has been highlighted before the Supreme Court multiple times since 2022. In its October 21, 2022 order, the Supreme Court had issued interim directions to the state Police wherein it had directed that

“As and when any speech or any action takes place which attracts offences such as Sections 153A, 153B and 295A and 505 of the IPC etc., suo moto action will be taken to register cases even if no complaint is forthcoming and proceed against the offenders in accordance with law.”

The court had further said that non-compliance would amount to contempt of court:

“We make it clear that any hesitation to act in accordance with this direction will be viewed as contempt of this Court and appropriate action will be taken against the erring officers,” the order reads.

We would also like to highlight that on February 3, 2023, the Supreme Court issued directions with respect to an event which was scheduled to be held in Mumbai on February 5, 2023 by another extremist Hindutva group, Sakal Hindu Samaj. The petitioner, Shaheen Abdullah had contended that similar to its anti-Muslim speech during 'Hindu Jan Aakrosh Morcha' held in Mumbai on January 29, it can be apprehended that the same will be repeated during the February 5 meeting as well. The apex court had thus sought a video of the entire event to be scrutinized by the court at the next hearing. The court has also taken an undertaking from government of Maharashtra that if permission for this event is granted "it will be subject to the condition that nobody will make any hate speech and in defiance of law or disturbing the public order."

The court also outlined directives with respect to taking preventive action in such cases:

***"We also direct that the Officer(s), in case, permission is granted and, in case, the occasion arises for invoking the power under Section 151 of Cr.P.C. as aforesaid, it shall be the duty of the Officer(s) concerned to invoke the said power and to act as per the mandate of Section 151 of the Cr.P.C."***

The apex court has, thus, again outlined already codified statutory duties of a police officer which makes it mandatory for them to take action under section 151 of CrPC in case there is apprehension of hate speech. The court, during the hearing on February 3 had also expressed its disappointment that the police was not taking preventive action or filing complaints suo-moto in cases of hate speech, as it had directed in October, 2022 while hearing a bath of petitions against hate speech at various occasions.

Sirs/Ma'am, on April 28, 2023 the Supreme Court had held that all States/UTs, including West Bengal, are enjoined and bound to take suo-moto action to register FIR against hate speeches, without waiting for any formal complaint. In its order, the Supreme Court bench comprising Justices KM Joseph and BV Nagarathna had said the following:

***"Respondents (states) shall ensure that immediately, as and when any speech or any action takes place which attracts offences such as Section 153A, 153B, 295A and 506 of IPC etc, without any complaint being filed suo moto action be taken to register cases and proceed against the offenders in accordance with law. Respondents will issue directions to the subordinates so that appropriate action can be taken at the earliest. We further make it clear that such action be taken irrespective of the religion of the maker of the speech, so that the secular character of Bharat as envisaged by the Preamble is preserved."***

The apex court has, thus, again outlined already codified statutory duties of a police officer which makes it mandatory for them to take action under section 151 of CrPC in case there is apprehension of hate speech. Sir, given the well-orchestrated and numerous instances of hate speech and actual hate crimes being committed, the minority community in various parts of India already feels insecure and threatened. Furthermore, this was spoken at a public event and this speech has reached not only the audience at the event but also all those who may have seen these videos on their mobile phones, by the medium of social media. How large and wide the reach of social media can be, we are sure you must be aware. The consequences of such an inflammatory speech could have been worse.

Even in 2024 itself, while on January 17, 2024, the Supreme Court bench of Justices Sanjiv Khanna and Dipankar Datta had expressed their anguish at the petitioners being forced to approach the Supreme Court multiple times against individuals and organisations even after there being guidelines for tacking and taking action against hate speeches. During the said hearing, the Supreme Court issued an order directing the District Magistrate and Superintendent of Police at Yavatmal, Maharashtra and Raipur, Chhattisgarh to take ‘appropriate steps’ to ensure that no incitement to violence or hate speech occurs at the rallies scheduled in the said districts in the coming few days of January. The said order was passed following the concerns raised by the petitioners over delivery of potential hate speeches at rallies planned by Hindu Janajagruti Samiti and Bharatiya Janata Party legislator T Raja Singh in the month of January.

The court had outlined directives with respect to taking preventive action in such cases:

***“We would require the authorities to be conscious that no incitement to violence and hate speech are permissible. The concerned District Magistrates and Superintendent of Police of Yavatmal, Maharashtra and Raipur, Chattisgarh will take necessary steps, as may be required. If necessary and deemed appropriate, police/administration will install CCTV Cameras having recording facility, so as to ensure identification of the perpetrators in the event of any violence/hate speech.”***

As the new criminal procedure code has been put in force in the country since July 1, 2024, we have also provided the equivalent section the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 below.

Equivalent IPC and BNS Sections	
IPC Sections	BNS Sections
<p><b>153A.</b> (1) Whoever--(a) by words, either spoken or written, or by signs or by visible representations or otherwise, promotes or attempts to promote, on grounds of religion, race, place of birth, residence, language, caste or community or any other ground whatsoever, disharmony or feelings of enmity, hatred or illwill between different religious, racials, language or regional groups or castes or communities, shall be punished with imprisonment which may extend to three years, or with fine, or with both.</p>	<p><b>196.</b> (1) Whoever— (a) by words, either spoken or written, or by signs or by visible representations or through electronic communication or otherwise, promotes or attempts to promote, on grounds of religion, race, place of birth, residence, language, caste or community or any other ground whatsoever, disharmony or feelings of enmity, hatred or ill-will between different religious, racial, language or regional groups or castes or communities;</p> <p>(c) organises any exercise, movement, drill or other similar activity intending that the participants in such activity shall use...criminal force or violence, against any religious, racial, language or regional group or caste or community and such activity for any reason whatsoever causes or is likely to cause fear or alarm or a feeling of insecurity amongst members of such religious, racial, language or regional group or caste or community,</p>



	shall be punished with imprisonment which may extend to three years, or with fine, or with both.
<p><b>503. Criminal intimidation.—</b> Whoever threatens another with any injury to his person, reputation or property, or to the person or reputation of any one in whom that person is interested, with intent to cause alarm to that person, or to cause that person to do any act which he is not legally bound to do, or to omit to do any act which that person is legally entitled to do, as the means of avoiding the execution of such threat, commits criminal intimidation.</p>	<p><b>351.</b> (1) Whoever threatens another by any means, with any injury to his person, reputation or property, or to the person or reputation of any one in whom that person is interested, with intent to cause alarm to that person, or to cause that person to do any act which he is not legally bound to do, or to omit to do any act which that person is legally entitled to do, as the means of avoiding the execution of such threat, commits criminal intimidation.</p> <p>(2) Whoever commits the offence of criminal intimidation shall be punished with imprisonment of either description for a term which may extend to two years, or with fine, or with both.</p>

<b>Equivalent CrPC and BNSS Sections</b>	
<b>CrPC Sections</b>	<b>BNSS Sections</b>
<b>151.</b> A police officer, knowing of a design to commit any cognisable offence may arrest, without orders from the Magistrate and without a warrant, the person so designing, if it appears to such officer that the commission of the offence cannot be otherwise prevented.	<b>170.</b> (1) A police officer knowing of a design to commit any cognizable offence may arrest, without orders from a Magistrate and without a warrant, the person so designing, if it appears to such officer that the commission of the offence cannot be otherwise prevented.
<b>130.</b> Use of armed forces to disperse assembly.	<b>149.</b> (1) If any assembly referred to in sub-section (1) of section 148 cannot otherwise be dispersed, and it is necessary for the public security that it should be dispersed, the District Magistrate or any other Executive Magistrate authorised by him, who is present, may cause it to be dispersed by the armed forces.
<b>131.</b> Power of certain armed force officers to disperse assembly.	<b>150.</b> Power of certain armed force officers to disperse assembly.

**Sustained Campaign for Peace and Social Harmony by CJP**

Sirs, in the month of April 2024, CJP had released a ready to use Handbook, *Towards a Hate Free Nation* and the Handbook succinctly provides latest jurisprudence from the Supreme Court of India (SC) and Bombay High Court (HC) CJP that re-emphasise the role and responsibility to both

prevent and prosecute targeted violence. This comprehensive booklet on preventive and other measures provides the measures that must be adopted by police and district administration in cases of such provocative events where inciting hate speeches are likely to be delivered. CJP believes that countering hate is a collective responsibility of both the concerned citizenry and the authorities.

The handbook titled 'Towards a Hate Free Nation' has been marked and annexed hereto as **Annexure A**

We would like to mention that we have been following the events planned for by right wing organisations across the country and have been sending memorandums to concerned authorities to take pre-emptive action with the strong apprehension that hate speeches will be delivered at such events. Our apprehensions have been proved right in the past as well when events organised by Hindu Rashtra Jagruti Sabha had included instigating speeches and anti-Muslim rhetoric, which could have been prevented basis our complaints sent to the police authorities in the district and local police as well.

Considering the indisputable history of hate speech of the speakers and the organisers as well, it is pertinent that you take immediate action in this regard and cancel the permission (if given) or deny permission for this event, in the light of the Supreme Court directions of February 3, (as indicated above).

Sirs, we urge you to take necessary action and follow due process of law to stop this event from taking place and take any other preventive action within the powers of the Police. If the wider public is kept abreast of developments, this would go a long way in rebuilding faith and confidence in the rule of law in general and a police-citizen relationship in particular.

In anticipation,

Yours sincerely,

Nandan Maluste, CJP President

Teesta Setalvad, CJP Secretary

**Annexures:**

Annexure A- Handbook titled 'Towards a Hate Free Nation'