

 SCC Online Web Edition, © 2024 EBC Publishing Pvt. Ltd.

 Page 1
 Thursday, July 04, 2024

 Printed For: Chambers of Jayna Kothari .

 SCC Online Web Edition: https://www.scconline.com

 © 2024 Eastern Book Company. The text of this version of this judgment is protected by the law

 declared by the Supreme Court in Eastern Book Company v. D.B. Modak, (2008) 1 SCC 1 paras 61, 62 & 63.

(1975) 4 Supreme Court Cases 127

(BEFORE H.R. KHANNA, M.H. BEG AND V.R. KRISHNA IYER, JJ.)

VATAL NAGARAJ . . Appellant;

Versus

R. DAYANAND SAGAR . . Respondent.

Civil Appeal No. 1738 of 1973, decided on October 11, 1974

Election — Relief — Declaring the election petitioner as elected — Nature and applicability of Section 101 RPA — Excessive expenditure on hiring cars and printing leaflets — Section 123 RPA

Held :

The insistent requirements of the section are that firstly the returned candidate must have obtained votes by the operation of corrupt practices; secondly, such tainted votes must be quantified with judicial assurance; and thirdly, after deduction of such void votes, the petitioner or other candidate must be shown to have secured a majority of the valid votes.

(Para 29)

In the present case, the decisive factor is the satisfactory proof of the number of votes, if any, attracted by the appellant into his ballot box by the corrupt means. This hinges not on mystic maybes and vague imponderables and prejudice to prospects but on tangible testimony that a number of persons, arithmetically assessed swung towards and probably voted actually for the returned candidate, directly magnetised by the corrupt practice, so that one could positively predicate those votes as having been obtained ... by corrupt practices. This clear nexus is of critical importance. Happy speculation, hypothetical possibility and clairvoyant surmise, however, imaginatively and objectively made, cannot displace this drastic requirement.

(Para 29)

Courts do not elect candidates or sign into parliamentary seats those whom the constituency has not yet favoured. The normal democratic process cannot be by-passed conveniently on the score of corrupt practices by the rival except in those exceptional cases where Section 101 stands fulfilled. You must win not only an election petition but an election itself.

(Para 29)

T. Nagappa v. *T.C. Basappa*, AIR 1955 SC 756 : 11 ELR 203 and *Jamuna Prasad* v. *Lachhi Ram.* AIR 1954 SC 686, 689 : (1955) 1 SCR 608, *referred to*

In the present case the relief ought to be rejected not merely for want of proper averments but also for a total void in proof on this aspect.

The conclusion therefore is that the appellant's election is set aside and the constituency has to choose its representative by a fresh poll.

(Para 32)

Kanwarlal Gupta v. Amar Nath Chawla, (1975) 3 SCC 646, referred to

Election trial — Pleadings and particulars — Corrupt practice — Proof at minor variance with the alleged particulars permissible

Facts constitutive of corrupt practices must be averred in the petition itself or brought in by amendment by leave of court, within the limitation period. The opposite party is thus put on his guard as to what charges he has to meet. Particulars, illustrative of the corrupt practices alleged, stand on a different footing. Even if there have been initial omissions in pleading, they can be made up, by Court's leave, at any time. Proof, at minor variance with alleged particulars, may be allowed, the course open to the opposite party being to satisfy the trial Judge of prejudice sustained and of opportunity for adducing rebutting evidence. To shut out cogent and clear evidence of particulars of corrupt practice (the ground itself being in the pleadings) on processual technicalities is to orphan the real, though absent, party viz. the silent constituency.

(Para 12)



SCC Online Web Edition, © 2024 EBC Publishing Pvt. Ltd. Page 2 Thursday, July 04, 2024 Printed For: Chambers of Jayna Kothari . SCC Online Web Edition: https://www.scconline.com © 2024 Eastern Book Company. The text of this version of this judgment is protected by the law declared by the Supreme Court in Eastern Book Company v. D.B. Modak, (2008) 1 SCC 1 paras 61, 62 & 63.

Bhagwan Datt Shastri v. R.R. Gupta, 11 ELR 448, 456, followed

Page: 128

Election trial — Witnesses — Credibility — View of trial court entitled to weight — Review by appellate court not ruled out entirely

(Para 13)

Judicial Fact-Finding and Psychology, 14 Ohio State Law Journal, 183, 186 (Spring 1953) — Quoted in Pychology and the Law by Dwight G. McCarty — Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., USA (1967, 4th printing) p. 228, *referred to*

Election Trial — Witnesses — Falsus in uno falsus in omnibus — Not applicable — Part of the testimony of a discredited witness can be relied on if it accords with authentic documentary evidence and reliable verbal testimony

(Para 17)

Election Trial — Appreciation of evidence — That part of the testimony adduced was perjured cannot prevent conclusion as to the allegations if the rest of the evidence is reliable and trustworthy

(Paras 22 and 23)

M/2175/S

Appeal partly allowed. No costs The Judgment of the Court was delivered by

V.R. KRISHNA IYER, J.— The locale of this election litigation, now at the appellate stage, lies in Bangalore, an industrial city inhabited by a blend of multi-religious polylingual communities. But, when a pathological power-scramble is on, the politics of stoop-to-conquer shows up in forms of unscrupulous opportunism and investment in group hatred and the Chamarajpet constituency in Bangalore City is alleged to have been injected by this virus by the appellant at about the time the State Assembly elections in March 1972 were held. If multi-form corruption corrodes the electoral process - and that is the imputation here - the "gutter can come to power", to adapt a phrase used in a different context by a great writer. Judging by the general trend of vice and violation organised as election strategy, only glimpses of which Judges get in election cases, we wonder whether parties and individuals who practise these oblique techniques, fully realise the moral of the Frankenstein's monster episode. These dark forebodings, however, do not deter us from applying the sound tests laid down by a long line of cases in interpreting the provisions and evaluating the evidence in election cases. Our task has, however, become more uneasy because both sides have liberally contributed dubious testimony in a bid to win their respective cases.

2. A brief diary of events will bring into focus the issues over which the forensic controversy has raged. Sixteen persons filed nomination papers from the Chamarajpet constituency, six discreetly withdrew and the surviving ten went into battle on March 5, 1972 the date set for the poll. The voting strength of this constituency was 97,379 but the actual votes polled was only 52,720. While the D.M.K. and the Muslim League made a relatively good showing securing over 7000 votes each, the real bout was between the appellant, an Independent glamourised as a heroic agitator for Kannada, the language of the vast majority of the people of the then Mysore State and the first respondent, a Congress Party candidate enjoying consequential advantages. The appellant won, polling 15,486 but the first respondent was close behind with 14,412. It is an uneasy feature that in our electoral system, even with hot contest as here sometimes only half the voters turn up to exercise their franchise and he who gets 15% of the total votes of a constituency acquires the right to speak and act as its plenary proxy in the Legislature. We do not regard this aspect as falling within our



SCC Online Web Edition, © 2024 EBC Publishing Pvt. Ltd. Page 3 Thursday, July 04, 2024 Printed For: Chambers of Jayna Kothari . SCC Online Web Edition: https://www.scconline.com © 2024 Eastern Book Company. The text of this version of this judgment is protected by the law declared by the Supreme Court in Eastern Book Company v. D.B. Modak, (2008) 1 SCC 1 paras 61, 62 & 63.

province since this vexed

Page: 129

question is Parliament's concern. Anyway, the infirmity of the poll victory agitated before us is that even this 15% was the product of illegal tactics sufficient to invalidate the election of the appellant and, what is more pathetic, the further relief sought is that the one who got only 14% i.e. the first respondent, should be declared the authentic elected member of Chamarajpet.

3. The charges made by the first respondent to demolish the declaration of the appellant made by the Returning Officer on March 11, 1972 relate to certain malpractices between February 11, 1972 and March 5, 1972. It is a melancholy reflection on the first respondent's methodology of winning his election petition that he has adduced evidence, some of which, bears traces of forgery and tricky photography backed by perjury. This finding by the trial court has not been shaken in argument before us. One should have expected a legislative aspirant representing a national party, an ex-Deputy Minister and barrister, to be cleaner in Court while charging his opponent with corrupt practices at the polls.

4. The young appellant had personalised himself as the spear-head and became the President of the Kannadiga movement and its Chaluvali Kendra Mandali. The popular identification of the candidature of Vatal Nagaraj, the appellant, with this somewhat passionate organisation is gleaned from the fact that his Chief Election Agent in Chickpet, Sampangi PW 3, was the Secretary of the Mandali and on his resignation in May or June 1972 Prabhakara Reddy, the Chief Election Agent of the appellant in Chamarajpet, took over the Secretaryship (the appellant was a candidate in both the constituencies, which were contiguous). It serves our understanding of the forces at work better if we also remember that there are sizeable Tamil and Muslim groups in Bangalore. Some of the corrupt practices alleged are linked up with Tamil presence in the city. While economic grievances and social backwardness are the basic causes of what, on the surface, shows up as language or parochial chauvinism, the fact remains that the masses are easily inflamed by economic-linguistic appeals, peppered by provincialism.

5. We may now proceed to set out briefly the charges levelled against the appellant, highlighting only those which have found favour with the trial Judge. However, the structure of Section 123 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951 (hereinafter called "the Act", for short) is such that where a candidate is guilty of one or many of the enumerated corrupt practices, his election must be set aside and he should be visited, under Section 77 of the Act, with a six-year period of disqualification. In that view, it may well be that if we are satisfied about one of the several charges, the appellant must lose. However, we shall deal with the allegations and evidence concisely, so that the conspectus of the case may not appear distorted, although primarily we propose to deal with the excess expenditure beyond the legal limit held by the trial Judge to have been incurred by the appellant.

6. While a close-up of the few counts on which the appellant has been held guilty is necessary, a quick look at the fasciculus of charges,

Page: 130

many of which have been negatived, may unfold the characters of the play, their



SCC Online Web Edition, © 2024 EBC Publishing Pvt. Ltd. Page 4 Thursday, July 04, 2024 Printed For: Chambers of Jayna Kothari . SCC Online Web Edition: https://www.scconline.com © 2024 Eastern Book Company. The text of this version of this judgment is protected by the law declared by the Supreme Court in Eastern Book Company v. D.B. Modak, (2008) 1 SCC 1 paras 61, 62 & 63.

integrity and the foul measures apparently fair persons resort to, sacrificing means to ends. Purity in elections is a social process of public concern and national consensus, not just a legislative package or judicial verdict.

7. The publication of many copies of offending leaflets at some cost, the hiring of ten cars at over Rs 10,000 and the payment of Rs 500 to a Kannada organisation hopefully to enlist their poll support, are the lethal vices, inter alia, levelled against appellant Nagaraj to undo his election. In the unhappy national context of unprintable flood of leaflets, movement of fleets of automobiles, slanderous speeches and huge sums, big parties and rich candidates regard as the natural resources to be exploited in aid of the politics of power-grab through adult franchise, this election petition projects a mountain-molehill contrast. But the Court can only correct what comes before it and perhaps sound warning bells about the enormity of the environmental pollution during elections, for statesmanship to act, if law in this area is not to be robbed of pervasive potency.

8. The catalogue of corrupt practices begins with an election-eve gift of Rs 500 by this Kannada fighter and President of the Kannada Chaluvali Kendra Mandali, to the Karnataka Yuvaka Pourara Sangha, Bangalore City, motivated by and appealing for voting support from its members. We are relieved from investigating the legal import of such financial support to an organisation wedded to the programme which is also the passion of the candidate since the story has been rightly rejected by the High Court and we agree with it. Certain photographs (Exs. P-7 and P-15) alleged to have been taken by PW 3 (an enemy of the appellant) at the Mandali Office and the maidan in Azad Nagar, respectively, on February 20, 1972 were relied on by the Congress candidate in this connection and the Court, after a detailed study, discovered that they were really taken on April 14, 1972 long after the election at a school where he (the appellant) was lured, "taking advantage of the first respondent's age and vanity" and were cleverly fobbed off on the Court in hopeful proof of the offending February gift of Rs 500. The agent used for this purpose was PW 30 and the learned Judge assessed him thus:

"PW 30 Raghunath Singh is a creature of the petitioner, who acted as a spy in the opposite camp, a fifth-column tactic hardly fair, if it is true."

9. A suspicious February edition of a newspaper called *Karmike Vani* (Ext. P-10) carrying two photos taken in April have also been introduced by the first respondent Dayananda Sagar. He has also placed a make-believe letter, Exhibit P-26, signed by the appellant as evidence of car hire payment although the trial Judge has seen through the first respondent's sharp practice. Vatal Nagaraj, invited to a school function, gave his post-election autograph to children in an exercise note-book which page was later perverted to appear as a letter forwarding part of the car hire charges. This shady species of conduct in election litigation by seemingly important persons make us wonder whether character assassination cannot be self-inflicted.

🔌 Page: 131

10. We will now move on to the crucial issue of over-spending by the appellant. He is alleged to have hired for campaigning, ten cars from the Bangalore City Cooperative Transport Society, the hire charges being Rs 12,600. Likewise, a sum of Rs 7500, it is stated, was paid by the appellant to Nirmala Printing Press which was run by PW 2, Devraj, for printing election materials.



SCC Online Web Edition, © 2024 EBC Publishing Pvt. Ltd. Page 5 Thursday, July 04, 2024 Printed For: Chambers of Jayna Kothari . SCC Online Web Edition: https://www.scconline.com © 2024 Eastern Book Company. The text of this version of this judgment is protected by the law declared by the Supreme Court in Eastern Book Company v. D.B. Modak, (2008) 1 SCC 1 paras 61, 62 & 63.

11. An initial objection was raised by Shri Desai, arguing for the appellant, that there was substantial variation between pleading and proof in this regard, that the numbers of the cars hired, as mentioned in the petition, were different (regarding six out of ten) from what had been put forward in the evidence and this divergence had the triple crippling effects of causing prejudice, casting suspicion and disallowing the plea. Factually, Shri Desai is right but, legally, his objection is bereft of force.

12. The law of elections is clear on this branch of pleading and proof and a sense of brevity forbids citation of a string of rulings where the rule of law is indubitable. Litigation is no hide and seek game but a search for truth and parties must place their cards on the table. And procedure is the handmaid, not the mistress, of justice and cannot be permitted to thwart the fact-finding course. In election jurisprudence tracking down corrupt practices is of paramount importance. In doing this the rules of the game must be fairly observed. Facts *constitutive* of corrupt practices must be averred in the petition itself or brought in by amendment by leave of Court, within the limitation period. The opposite party is thus put on his guard as to what charges he has to meet. Particulars, illustrative of the corrupt practices alleged, stand on a different footing. Even if there have been initial omissions in pleading, they can be made up, by Court's leave, at any time. What is more to the point here - for it is common case that errors in particulars of car numbers have at no stage been rectified in the present case - proof, at minor variance with alleged particulars, may be allowed, the course open to the opposite party being to satisfy the trial Judge of prejudice sustained and of opportunity for adducing rebutting evidence. To shut out cogent and clear evidence of particulars of corrupt practice (the ground itself being in the pleadings), on processual technicalities is to orphan the real, though absent, party viz. the silent constituency. This Court, in *Bhagwan Datt Shastri* v. *R.R. Gupta*¹ set out the true rule:

"The question in such a case would not be one of absence of jurisdiction but as to whether there has been any material prejudice occasioned by the absence of particulars. It is in that light that the validity of the objection raised by the appellant in this behalf before us has to be judged. It is, therefore, necessary to scrutinise the nature of the evidence on which this finding has been arrived at and to see whether the appellant had a fair opportunity of meeting it."

Having heard Shri Desai at length, we are not persuaded that the infirmities he complains of have validity in the case on hand. No prejudice has been sustained by the change in the numbers of the taxi cars and no integral element in the ground of corrupt practice viz. excessive expenditure for the election, has been kept back. Indeed, even most of the particulars have been correctly set out.

Page: 132

13. Before proceeding to examine the evidence, we must make a further cautionary observation. When the trial court (here a Judge of the High Court) has had an overall view of the case through the very process of oral and documentary unfolding, that panoramic perception cannot be equated with the studious perusal of the printed record by a higher court. Where the tribunal has watched the delivery of testimony by the witnesses, some with equivocating unveracity, others with nervous truthfulness or confident glibness, its opinion on credibility is entitled to much credit at the appellate stage. Of course, even among the judiciary a subjective factor in judging men and matters may creep in and so complete dependence on the assessment of human



SCC Online Web Edition, © 2024 EBC Publishing Pvt. Ltd.
Page 6 Thursday, July 04, 2024
Printed For: Chambers of Jayna Kothari .
SCC Online Web Edition: https://www.scconline.com
© 2024 Eastern Book Company. The text of this version of this judgment is protected by the law declared by the Supreme Court in Eastern Book Company v. D.B. Modak, (2008) 1 SCC 1 paras 61, 62 & 63.

candour and cunning by trial Judges can degenerate into legal superstition. It is apt to remember the words of Judge Jerome N. Frank² as a warning:

"We do know, from occasional candid remarks by trial Judges, that some of them utilize absurd rules-of-thumb such as these: A witness unquestionably lies who, while testifying, throws back his head; or wipes his hands; or shifts his gaze rapidly; or blushes, or bites his lips; or taps steadily on his armchair."

Having carefully considered the matter, we are convinced that the High Court has weighed the evidence fairly, tested the character carats of witnesses correctly and reached results rightly.

14. The trial court has adopted a legally impeccable approach in assessing the evidence, as was pointed out by Shri A.K. Sen, Counsel for the first respondent. Corrupt practices have to be viewed as quasi-criminal in character and the strict standard of proof applicable in such cases, in time with the decisions of this Court, has been used as a touchstone by the trial Judge. The question is whether the few corrupt practices, upheld by the High Court, have been proved beyond reasonable doubt or whether the appellant has been able to make any big dent in the case found. We will now discuss the heads of charge, itemwise. The printed election literature has a dual role in this case -(a) to boost the cost beyond the legal ceiling, and (b) to prove character assassination. Both are corrupt practices. A threat to Tamils i.e. undue influence, is also alleged to be involved in the handbills in question, Ext. P-4 and Ext. P-5. Indeed, an election tribunal must know that there exists an initial presumption in favour of the poll verdict and the whole constituency is invisibly party to the lis, their voice being interfered with only if their votes were illegally procured. As earlier indicated, this leaflet imputation may, in order of probative importance, be considered at a later stage since we are satisfied that its impact is somewhat indirect and its proof a shade inconclusive, notwithstanding the use to which Shri A.K. Sen has sought to put it in supporting the declaration, under Issue 11, that his client obtained as the returned candidate.

15. The critical issue which, in our view, is fatal to the appellant's election, is the layout on hiring cars. By itself that item exceeds Rs 10,000 and, if true, the election must be set aside, without more. Issue

🔌 Page: 133

9(b) relates to this subject and para 14(b) of the petition sets out this ground. As stated earlier, while the numbers of the ten cars are enumerated therein, the last six do not tally with the documents produced from the Bangalore City Cooperative Transport Society which was the bailor. The case is that the above Transport Society had fallen on evil days and so had authorised its President, one Swaminath, PW 7, to ply its vehicles on a no-profit no-loss basis. Swaminath, who had thus taken over the transport operation with effect from August 1, 1971 and had, in turn, run a transport service in the name of COOP TOUR COMBINED BOOKING CENTRE is stated to have agreed to make available ten cars on hire to the candidate Nagaraj. Rs 60 per day per car, exclusive of driver and fuel, from February 14, 1972 to March 5, 1972 were the terms alleged. It is further averred that the candidate had authorised Sampangi, PW 8, to arrange for the hire of these ten cars on or about February 10, 1972. The latter had made an initial payment of Rs 3000 on February 12, 1972 through PW 30, Raghunath Singh, already referred to. The case runs on to the effect that a sum of Rs 9600 was outstanding as payable to PW 7 on April 10, 1972 when the appellant lodged his account of election expenses, as required by statute. It is common ground that he did not enter the sums paid or payable by way of hire charges to PW 7 in his account



SCC Online Web Edition, © 2024 EBC Publishing Pvt. Ltd. Page 7 Thursday, July 04, 2024 Printed For: Chambers of Jayna Kothari . SCC Online Web Edition: https://www.scconline.com © 2024 Eastern Book Company. The text of this version of this judgment is protected by the law declared by the Supreme Court in Eastern Book Company v. D.B. Modak, (2008) 1 SCC 1 paras 61, 62 & 63.

submitted to the Election Commission. The petition sets out the payment, on April 14, 1972 of a sum of Rs 1000 to PW 7's Society towards car hire and this sum is stated to have been sent through PW 30, Raghunath Singh. Of course, the appellant, in his written statement, has denied this story of hiring and piece meal payments, knowing fully how noxious its effect would be on his victory, in the light of Section 77 of the Act.

16. We may straightway state that the learned Judge who tried the case has referred to PWs 8, 30 and 7 as the principal witnesses to prove the hiring of the cars. However, he has already described PW 30 as a spy of the Congress candidate who had slyly operated among the flock of Nagaraj, and has discredited him as an unscrupulous person. The learned Judge has also discarded the testimony of PW 8, Sampangi, for reasons which are self-evident, even if one casually peruses his deposition. He is a self -condemned perjurer and has hardly any claim to judicial credence, particularly in a case of proof of corrupt practices in an election petition. Without expanding on these unscrupulous souls any further, we concur with the trial Court in proceeding to reject that part of the case of the petitioner which lives solely on the lips of PWs 8 and 30. But the fact that these two dubious beings have been frequently friendly with falsehood does not destroy the acceptability of their testimony to the extent it accords with other authentic documentary material and reliable verbal testimony. Indeed the trial Judge has discerningly observed:

"I am placing dependence mainly on the documentary evidence under this issue, supported by the testimony of PW 7, Swaminath."

This, we think, is a flawless approach. We are constrained to remark that experience proves the wisdom of scepticism in assessing oral evidence

🔌 Page: 134

in court. In the words of $Osborn^3$:

"The astonishing amount of perjury in courts of law is a sad commentary on human veracity. In spite of the oath, more untruths are probably uttered in court than anywhere else. This deviation from veracity ranges from mere exaggeration all the way to vicious perjury. Much of this untrue testimony grows directly out of human nature under unusual stress and is not an accurate measure of truthspeaking in general. In order to shield a friend, or help one to win in what is thought to be a just cause, or because of sympathy for one in trouble, many members of the frail human family are inclined to violate the truth in a court of law as they will not do elsewhere."

17. The High Court's discussion is exhaustive. The arguments before us have not suffered from inadequacy and since we are affixing the principal conclusion of fact of the trial Judge we content ourselves with stating only the essential reasons.

18. The version of the petitioner regarding the vehicles (although with different registration numbers) has been substantially spoken to by Swaminath, PW 7. Most of the details deposed to by him fit in with the original averments and trivial discrepancies cannot disturb factual appreciation of the core.

19. PW 7, the President of the Society, has not been shown to be either interested in the petitioner or animated against the appellant. If, as he swears, he did run the business of transport during the relevant period, there is no reason to be sceptical about acting on his word on oath. Exhibit P-22, the proceedings book of the Board of Management of the Society, contains entries, dated July 2, 1971 (P-22A) evidencing



SCC Online Web Edition, © 2024 EBC Publishing Pvt. Ltd. Page 8 Thursday, July 04, 2024 Printed For: Chambers of Jayna Kothari . SCC Online Web Edition: https://www.scconline.com © 2024 Eastern Book Company. The text of this version of this judgment is protected by the law declared by the Supreme Court in Eastern Book Company v. D.B. Modak, (2008) 1 SCC 1 paras 61, 62 & 63.

the authorisation in his favour by the Board of Management. The marginal doubt, generated by the fact of the resolution, Exhibit P-22A, put him in charge of the business only until January 31, 1972 while the period of the hiring was beyond that date, is insufficient to shake his testimony in the light of all the other circumstances. For, until April 17, 1972 the Board of Management had not made over its transport business to anyone else. On the other hand, Ext. P-22B, the proceedings of the Board at its meeting held on April 17, 1972 (Item 4) reinforces the case spoken to by PW 7. The criticism that these proceedings could have been manipulated into life subsequently stands crushed by the endorsement Exhibit P-22A(1) made on the proceedings book by the Assistant Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Shri Bhatia, on April 5, 1972. Even otherwise, PW 7's story suffers from no inherent improbability and there is no presentable alternative put forward by the appellant as to how he ran the automobile part of his election campaign. He swore, most incredibly, that he covered the 25 square miles of his constituency on foot, during the hectic period of this bitter election campaign. Maybe, he had many volunteers of the Chaluvali Kendra Mandali to support him and they might well have covered the area on bicycles. Maybe, being militantly identified with an agitational issue (Kannada for Kannadigas, to capsule the movement in a slogan) his monetary inputs might have been puny compared to his more prosperous Congress rival. Even so, the *padayatra* programme,

V Page: 135

eschewing automobile journeys altogether, is too unrealistic and mendacious to be taken seriously. Moreover, there is other documentary evidence in proof of payment of hire charges. Exhibits P-23, P-24 and P-25 deserve probative credit, in this context. PW 8, Sampangi, is seen to have signed them and even if we disbelieve the integrity of PW 30 who is alleged to have carried Exhibit P-23 or of PW 8 who, admittedly, has signed that letter, there is no gainsaying the fact that documentary evidence of advance payment of Rs 3000 is forthcoming. Exhibit P-24, dated February 12, 1972 is a letter written by Swaminath to Nagaraj and Exhibit P-24A is the office copy. Exhibit P-25 further clinches the matter since it acknowledges the delivery of the cars and bears the signature of PW 8, Sampangi, appended on behalf of his principal, Nagaraj. Not PW 8 nor PW 30, but the documentary testimony and the credibility of PW 7 influence our conclusion.

20. Two major criticisms were levelled against this branch of the case by Shri Desai. Certain minor weaknesses were also pointed out which, for general considerations already indicated, do not need lengthy scrutiny. He contended that PW 8, Sampangi, was not his election agent in Chamarajpet Constituency and was an obvious betrayer who had been bought up by the more powerful petitioner, so much so his words or signatures could not command judicial confidence. Secondly, he urged that the evidence of PW 7 and the documents stood shaken in view of the reference therein to Exhibit P-26 which had been found by the trial court to be a forgery. We may examine the force, if any, of these submissions.

21. PW 8 is a consummate artist in terminological inexactitudes who owns up in cross-examination, with melodramatic audacity, both perjury and fabrication. Even so, his political bond with Nagaraj *during* the election is undeniable. They were President and Secretary of the Chaluvali Kendra Mandali until May or June 1972, when the latter resigned. PW 8 was the Chief Election Agent of Nagaraj in the adjoining Chickpet Constituency and could not have confined his busy campaigning, activated by the larger Kannada cause, to the territorial limits of Chickpet. In June he ran for the Legislative Council seat from the Teachers' constituency and Nagaraj appealed for



SCC Online Web Edition, © 2024 EBC Publishing Pvt. Ltd. Page 9 Thursday, July 04, 2024 Printed For: Chambers of Jayna Kothari . SCC Online Web Edition: https://www.scconline.com © 2024 Eastern Book Company. The text of this version of this judgment is protected by the law declared by the Supreme Court in Eastern Book Company v. D.B. Modak, (2008) 1 SCC 1 paras 61, 62 & 63.

electoral support through a newspaper column carrying his photograph. Haunted though we are by hunches about the distance between honest processes of proof and the petitioner's modus operandi in Court, unhesitatingly we hold that Sampangi, PW 8, was an activist lieutenant of the appellant during the critical months of February, March and April.

22. Exhibit P-26, if we may recapitulate, is that pernicious paper on which Nagaraj scribbled his then sought after autograph at a school function, hardly suspecting its potential transmigration into a letter forwarding a part of the car hire charges. Without trivialising the trickery played upon the appellant for which vicarious guilt must belong to the first respondent, we find no difficulty in delinking this documentary effort at over-kill, through Ext. P-26, from the other dependable evidence of hiring ten cars. Some holes of perjured evidence somewhere cannot sink

Page: 136

the whole case which can safely float on other tested testimony. All cobwebs of suspicion are brushed away by Exs. P-28 and P-29. Finding a large sum outstanding from Nagaraj by way of car hire, PW 7, Swaminath, a financially weak person, wrote to the treasurer of the Mandali pleading that since the appellant, the President, had owed a substantial amount in connection with the election where the Mandali had backed him, the treasurer Lakshmipati had better make good the money and adjust with the President later. Pat came the reply Ext. P-29 from Lakshmipati disowning liability from the Mandali. Again, Swaminath (PW 7) pursued his claim by writing for balance payment to the appellant with a copy to PW 8 (vide Ext. P-30). What followed (it rings true) may be rendered in the words of PW 7:

"I received the reply Ext. P-31 from Sampangi. It is dated April 22, 1972. Through the reply Ext. P-31 Sa. Kru. Sampangi asked me to accept Rs 8,000 from first respondent Vatal Nagaraj in full settlement. I went and collected Rs 8000 from Sa. Kru Sampangi on behalf of the first respondent Vatal Nagaraj on April 24, 1972 and issued a temporary receipt. The office copy of that receipt is Ext. P-32. On April 25, 1972 I wrote to the first respondent Vatal Nagaraj, with a copy to Sa. Kru. Sampangi and sent that letter by post. The office copy of that letter is Ext. P-33."

23. We have the corroborative evidence of the receipt book kept by PW 7 Ext. P-34. In his own words:

"Exs. P-34(*a*), P-34(*b*), P-34(*c*), P-34(*d*), P-34(*e*), P-34(*f*), P-34(*g*), P-34(*h*) are the respective receipts regarding Cars Nos. MYA 3981, MYD 9030, MYD 7575, MYD 6756, MYA 4044, MYA 4114, MYD 9779 and MYA 3633. The receipt Ext. P-34(1) refers to the Society Car MYD 7222 and the receipt Ext. P-34(*j*) refers to the Society Car MYD 8600."

These receipts relate to cars of others taken by PW 7 to make up the ten cars agreed to be supplied, his Society itself being only in possession of two cars. This wealth of documentary material is convincing enough, in the background of the trial court's remark: "PW 7 Swaminath has stood the test of cross-examination well and his answers seemed to be forthright". Shri Desai did exploit the divergence in car registration numbers and the unsatisfactory explanation offered by the first respondent in that behalf. So also the spurious Ext. P-26. Adulteration of evidence perhaps there is, but, after full consideration of the total material we are satisfied with the affirmative finding on Issue 9(*b*) given by the High Court.

24. Shri Desai feebly suggested that PW 8 was not his agent in Chamarajpet but in Chickpet; and PW 30 was not his man at all. We have disposed of the factual part of



SCC Online Web Edition, © 2024 EBC Publishing Pvt. Ltd. Page 10 Thursday, July 04, 2024 Printed For: Chambers of Jayna Kothari . SCC Online Web Edition: https://www.scconline.com © 2024 Eastern Book Company. The text of this version of this judgment is protected by the law declared by the Supreme Court in Eastern Book Company v. D.B. Modak, (2008) 1 SCC 1 paras 61, 62 & 63.

this plea but the law of agency in election jurisprudence, it may be noted, is more elastic. In a sense, the corrupt act need not be done by the candidate or his chief election agent. It is enough if it is authorised by either, as we will later show and here the hiring was done as authorised by the candidate.

25. The anxious first respondent has made many other charges of corrupt practice which have been repelled by the trial court and we concur. But two invalidating imputations have been upheld by the learned Judge, both turning on the printed election material, its cost and libellous

Page: 137

toxicity. We are not disposed to dissect the evidence in detail on these twin charges since a single fatal stab is as good as multiple mortal wounds if death is the goal. But the first respondent's ambition is not merely to destroy the declaration of the appellant but to instal himself as the Chamarajpet MLA through the judicial process. "There's the rub." Of course, if the law allows it he must get it.

26. Exhibits P-4 and P-5 are two handbills in Kannada and Tamil respectively, and Exhibit P-9 is the election manifesto of the appellant, says the first respondent. Of course, the appellant has denied responsibility for this offending literature and has gone to the extent of contending that the alleged printer PW 2 was a vegetable vendor injected into the scene by the first respondent as an evanescent lessee of a press, who, ostensibly, appeared on the scene about the time of the election, engaged himself solely in printing the appellant's election matter and vanished from the printing scene back to his vegetable vendor's job after the election. Maybe the story, prima facie, is suspect, but, on a closer scrutiny, especially with Ext. R-6 in mind, the finding of the trial court must pass muster. There is also some evidence of these leaflets being distributed by the workers of Nagaraj. Considerable debate there was at the Bar as to whether Exhibit P-4, even if true, amounted to character assassination, or other corrupt practice, but at least a portion of it relating to payment of money to voters undoubtedly injures the petitioner's good morals although many other statements may hover around the borderline or cannot constitute corrupt practice. Accepting Ext. P-4 as a passionate plea for Kannada and criticism of the rival as one who argues for English, it is not "character assassination", nor is a militant demand for larger areas for Karnataka State corrupt practice. Even notions on nude dances and of economic exploitation of people cannot be judged by mid-Victorian prudery when interpreting Section 123 of the Act. We have to be aware of realities, informed by the current ethos of the community and remember the usual margin of electoral exaggeration, while construing such speeches and writings. It is indisputable that if the printing had been done by the appellant or his election agent and the cost thereof was as pleaded in the petition, the ceiling on election expenses set by the statute would be further exceeded.

27. We are not inclined to upset the holding of the High Court that "there can be no reasonable doubt that regarding the handbills Exhibts P-4, P-5 and P-9 the petitioner's version is true" but do not embark on any long discussion as it is uncalled for. But the almost "astrological" consequence claimed to be flowing therefrom that the first respondent would have obtained a majority of valid votes demands fuller examination. For purposes of argument, let us assume that Exhibits P-4, P-5 and P-9 were printed and distributed prior to the election and that PW 2 had been paid Rs 7500 as printing charges. We may similarly assume that personal aspersions and implicit group disaffection or threat as stipulated in Section 123 of the Act could be read into these leaflets, as claimed in the petition. Even so, what?



SCC Online Web Edition, © 2024 EBC Publishing Pvt. Ltd. Page 11 Thursday, July 04, 2024 Printed For: Chambers of Jayna Kothari . SCC Online Web Edition: https://www.scconline.com © 2024 Eastern Book Company. The text of this version of this judgment is protected by the law declared by the Supreme Court in Eastern Book Company v. D.B. Modak, (2008) 1 SCC 1 paras 61, 62 & 63.

28. This takes us to Issue 12 which, perhaps, is the second most contested question in the whole case. Having exceeded, on our

🔌 Page: 138

own finding, the financial ceiling set by Section 77 of the Act, a corrupt practice has been committed by the appellant and his election has been rightly set aside by the High Court. Inevitably, under Section 8-A of the Act, the appellant has to be visited with the punitive six-year disqualification. So the High Court's finding on Issue 12 also must stand.

29. The only bitter bone of contention between the parties which survives is covered by Issue 11. The sanctity of the poll verdict will stand violated if the tribunal, without the strictest compulsion of statutory provisions, substitutes for an elected representative a court-picked candidate. The relevant part of Section 101 may well be set out at this stage:

"101. Grounds for which a candidate other than the returned candidate may be declared to have been elected.— If any person who has lodged a petition has, in addition to calling in question the election of the returned candidate, claimed a declaration that he himself or any other candidate has been duly elected and the High Court is of opinion

* * *

(*b*) that but for the votes obtained by the returned candidate by corrupt practices the petitioner or such other candidate would have obtained a majority of the valid votes,

the High Court shall after declaring the election of the returned candidate to be void declare the petitioner or such other candidate, as the case may be, to have been duly elected."

The insistent requirements of the section are that firstly the returned candidate must have obtained votes by the operation of corrupt practices; secondly, such tainted votes must be quantified with judicial assurance and thirdly, after deduction of such void votes, the petitioner or other candidate must be shown to have secured a majority of the valid votes. In the present case, the decisive factor is the satisfactory proof of the number of votes, if any, attracted by the appellant into his ballot box by the corrupt means. How many voters were lured for certain by the expenditure of several thousand rupees more than is sanctioned by the law? Did the *campaigning in those hired cars* snatch votes at all? Did deleterious leaflets draw into Nagaraj's net a specific set of voters? To capsule the enquiry, how many votes were definitely obtained by the use of each corrupt practice? This hinges not on mystic maybes and vague imponderables and prejudice to prospects but on tangible testimony that a number of persons, arithmetically assessed, swung towards and probably voted actually for the returned candidate, directly magnetised by the corrupt practice, so that one could positively predicate those votes as having been obtained ... by corrupt practices. This clear nexus is of critical importance. Happy speculation, hypothetical possibility and clairvoyant surmise, however imaginatively and objectively made, cannot displace this drastic requirement. Where, for instance, a certain number of persons, in violation of the legal ban, have been transported by the candidate and they have been shown, with fair assurance, to have cast their votes in his favour or where specific cases of false personation or double voting at the instance of the candidate or his agents had occurred and the margin of difference between the victor and the nearest vanquished



SCC Online Web Edition, © 2024 EBC Publishing Pvt. Ltd. Page 12 Thursday, July 04, 2024 Printed For: Chambers of Jayna Kothari . SCC Online Web Edition: https://www.scconline.com © 2024 Eastern Book Company. The text of this version of this judgment is protected by the law declared by the Supreme Court in Eastern Book Company v. D.B. Modak, (2008) 1 SCC 1 paras 61, 62 & 63.



Page: 139

is narrow and the gap is more than made up by the illegally procured votes, the case for the application of Section 101 will surely arise. Courts do not elect candidates or sign into Parliamentary seats those whom the constituency has not yet favoured. The normal democratic process cannot be bypassed conveniently on the score of corrupt practices by the rival except in those exceptional cases where Section 101 stands fulfilled. You must win not only an election petition but an election itself.

30. The decisions cited before us by Shri A.K. Sen do not take us further. Indeed there is a paucity of precedents in this area, for reasons which are not difficult to guess. In *T. Nagappa* v. *T.C. Basappa*^{$\frac{4}{2}$} this Court had to deal with a case where the lead of the winner was only 34 votes, there was cogent proof of about 60 voters having been transported by the offending candidates to the polling booth of whom 47 voted for him so that, if their votes were struck out, the margin of difference would disappear and the loser would have secured the larger number of valid votes. There the learned Judges were at pains to point out that the petitioner got only 34 votes less than the respondent and that the Tribunal (by a majority) had found that the bus procured by Respondent 1 did carry to the polling booths about 60 voters, leading to the legitimate presumption that the majority of them did vote for Respondent 1. Under these circumstances, the Court did not care to interfere with the Tribunal's factual view that if the votes attributable to the corrupt practice were left out of account, the petitioner would have gained an undisputed majority. In that very case while pointing out that the High Court should not have upset a finding of fact of the Tribunal, this Court cautiously added that "it may be that the view taken by the dissenting member of the Tribunal was the more proper". Apparently, the dissenting member was not inclined to upset the poll verdict even on this evidence. Where there are a number of serious candidates contesting from a constituency, the situation becomes complex and unpredictable. It is convenient assumption, not reasoned probability, to guess for whom, if at all, the voters of the winner who used corrupt practices would have alternatively cast their franchise. Sheer disenchantment with the vicious techniques might well have turned away many sensitive souls from the polling station. In the appeal before us the lead is over a thousand votes, no link between the polluted practice and the voters affected is forged, ten candidates were in the field and some of them had polled well. The observations of this Court in Jamuna Prasad case⁵ that "there is nothing to show why the majority of the first respondent's voters would have preferred the sixth respondent and ignored the third and fourth respondents" underscores the hazard in such multiple-contest situations. Shri A.K. Sen's persuasive invitation to compute on imperfect data is to ask us to crystal-gaze. We decline the essay in the occult.

31. In the present case the reasoning of the trial court dealing with this branch is not brief but a blank. All that the Court has said

🔌 Page: 140

is that the difference is only 1044 votes between the appellant and the respondent and that a reasonable judicial guess is not taboo: "Therefore it can be reasonably concluded as per clause (b) of Section 101 of the A.P. Act that but for the votes obtained by the returned candidate (first respondent) by corrupt practices, the petitioner would have obtained a majority of the valid votes." We are sorry the



SCC Online Web Edition, © 2024 EBC Publishing Pvt. Ltd. Page 13 Thursday, July 04, 2024 Printed For: Chambers of Jayna Kothari . SCC Online Web Edition: https://www.scconline.com © 2024 Eastern Book Company. The text of this version of this judgment is protected by the law declared by the Supreme Court in Eastern Book Company v. D.B. Modak, (2008) 1 SCC 1 paras 61, 62 & 63.

sequitur is too obscure for us to see. There were ten candidates in the field and the curious plea bearing on this relief in the election petition appears to be that the petitioner has done social service and deserved victory and so there was no need to send him back to the constituency to seek a re-election — strange compliance with Section 101 of the Act Indeed, the petitioner, himself a barrister and a former Deputy Minister, conversant with the requirements of election law knows that where a claim for a declaration in his favour is put forward at least formal averments tacking the corrupt practice onto obtaining of definite votes was necessary. On the other hand, all that he states is that as a result of the hate campaign against the Muslims and the Tamils, alleged to have been carried on by the appellant and his agents,

"the Tamil-speaking people thought that it would be to their advantage to support the D.M.K. candidate and the Muslim population thought that they would be protected only if the Muslim League candidate was returned to the election".

Therefore what? After adding that these two candidates had secured a large number of votes from the Tamils and the Muslims, the petition makes a puzzling statement:

"These votes would have been polled by the petitioner and the Congress Party but for the corrupt practices under Section 123 committed by the first respondent, his election agent and the agents of the first respondent"

The abstruse logic, the bare assertion and the total absence of a tie-up between specific corrupt practices and the number of votes obtained *thereby* lead us to an outright rejection of the relief, not merely for want of proper averments but also for a total void in proof. Absent visible welding of the electoral vice established into the numerical measure of the victory, the votes at the polls alone, not the writ of the Court, can seat him in the legislature. We have no hesitation in reversing the finding on Issue 11.

32. The conclusion therefore is that the appellant's election is set aside and the constituency has to choose its representative by a fresh poll. It must be noted that half the term has already run out since the election which we now set aside. Having regard to the democratic process and the duty not to keep Chamarajpet orphaned in the legislature, we expect the Chief Election Commissioner to proceed expeditiously to hold a fresh election.

33. The fate of this case has been the direct result, among other grounds, of the cost of campaigns, beyond the legal ceiling, incurred by the appellant who contested as an Independent. To give all candidates a fair chance, an operationally fairer, perhaps even radical plan to finance our elections, particularly the campaigning process, may have to be devised. Money power casts a sinister shadow on our elections and the political pay-off of undue expenditure in the various constituencies is too alluring

🔰 Page: 141

for parties to resist temptation. Moreover, there is a built-in iniquity in the scheme because an independent candidate who exceeds the ceiling prescribed under the law legally commits a corrupt practice. His rival, set up by political parties with considerable potential for fund raising and using, may lay out a hundred times more in each constituency on their candidates and yet hope to escape the penalty under Section 77. The convenient — not necessarily correct — plea would be that the candidate spent for his election but the party for its campaign. This likely evasion of the law by using big money through political parties is a source of pollution of the Indian political process. To channel funds into the campaign for specific candidates



SCC Online Web Edition, © 2024 EBC Publishing Pvt. Ltd. Page 14 Thursday, July 04, 2024 Printed For: Chambers of Jayna Kothari . SCC Online Web Edition: https://www.scconline.com © 2024 Eastern Book Company. The text of this version of this judgment is protected by the law declared by the Supreme Court in Eastern Book Company v. D.B. Modak, (2008) 1 SCC 1 paras 61, 62 & 63.

getting around the requirements of the law by establishing party committees is all too familiar in this and some other countries. In this context it may be apt to draw attention to a recent ruling of this Court in *Kanwarlal Gupta* v. *Amar Nath Chawla*⁶ on election expenses. It may be proper to infuse into the election law the cleansing spirit which was emphasized way back in 1920 by the Select Committee on the Indian Election Offence and Enquiries Act (34 of 1920). Half a century ago it was observed there:

"We feel that there are distinct advantages at the present time when election is to play so important a part in the new public life of India that the public conscience should be markedly drawn in relation to the franchise whether that franchise relates to legislative or other bodies."

34. Elections, constituency wise, are the cornerstone of our Parliamentary culture and if the law is to reflect and ensure the democratic norms set by the nation in this strategic area, serious political consensus (not sanctimonious platitudes) on heavy cut -back on poll outlay by parties and candidates and basic morality in the electioneering methodology must emerge - a consummation devoutly to be wished. If campaigns run berserk and expenses unlimited become the rule, general elections become national nightmares and the fabric of our freedom shakes. Courts come in only when specific cases are filed and cannot arrest this cultural contamination. We can only express the wish, with a sense of social awareness, that campaign finance reform, imposing realistic limitations on spending on behalf of candidates directly or vicariously seems necessary if inequality of influence is not to operate upon the electoral process and later upon Government decisions. To a limited extent courts can respond to the fulfilment of this constitutional aspiration by a benignant interpretation of the legal limits on election expenditure Section 77 clamps down. This election case is also a caveat on election methodology. True, large monetary inputs are necessary evils of modern elections, but "once we assuage our conscience by calling something a 'necessary evil', it begins to look more and more necessary and less and less evil". The manumission of the electoral process from money power is the dharma of our Republic.

35. In the hope that a fresh election for Chamarajpet would be held early and in the expectation that the candidates, Independents and

🔌 Page: 142

party-nominees alike, would keep within the pecuniary limits set by the law as laid down by this Court, we allow the appeal in part, as above indicated. Parties will bear their own costs throughout.

¹ 11 ELR 448, 456

² Judicial Fact-Finding and Psychology, 14 Ohio State Law Journal, 183, 186 (Spring 1953)—Quoted in Psychology and the Law by Dwight G. McCarty — Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., USA (1967, 4th printing), p. 228

³ Albert S. Osborn : The Problem of Proof, pp. 22, 23, New York, Matthew Bender & Co, 1926 — Quoted in (2) ibid, p. 226

⁴ AIR 1955 SC 756 : 11 ELR 203

⁵ Jamuna Prasad v. Lachhi Ram, AIR 1954 SC 686, 689 : (1955) 1 SCR 608



SCC Online Web Edition, © 2024 EBC Publishing Pvt. Ltd. Page 15 Thursday, July 04, 2024 Printed For: Chambers of Jayna Kothari . SCC Online Web Edition: https://www.scconline.com © 2024 Eastern Book Company. The text of this version of this judgment is protected by the law declared by the Supreme Court in Eastern Book Company v. D.B. Modak, (2008) 1 SCC 1 paras 61, 62 & 63.

⁶ (1975) 3 SCC 646

 7 Sydney Harris, quoted by Hidayatullah, J. (as he then was) in Democracy in India and the Judicial Process — Lajpatrai Memorial Lectures, 1965 — Asia Publishing House — p. 60

Disclaimer: While every effort is made to avoid any mistake or omission, this casenote/ headnote/ judgment/ act/ rule/ regulation/ circular/ notification is being circulated on the condition and understanding that the publisher would not be liable in any manner by reason of any mistake or omission or for any action taken or omitted to be taken or advice rendered or accepted on the basis of this casenote/ headnote/ judgment/ act/ rule/ regulation/ circular/ notification. All disputes will be subject exclusively to jurisdiction of courts, tribunals and forums at Lucknow only. The authenticity of this text must be verified from the original source.