



February 15, 2022

To,

1. Shri Ajay Kumar Shukla  
Chief Electoral Officer  
IV Floor, Vikas Bhawan, Hazratganj,  
Lucknow-226001  
Email id: [ceoup@nic.in](mailto:ceoup@nic.in)

2. Shri Manoj Kumar,  
State Election Commissioner, UP  
32 - Station Road, Lucknow - 226001  
Email id: [secup@up.nic.in](mailto:secup@up.nic.in)

3. Assistant District Election Officer  
District Election office,  
Siddharth Nagar  
Email id: [secsid@up.nic.in](mailto:secsid@up.nic.in)

**Subject:** Complaint against hate speech of BJP MLA Raghvendra Pratap Singh violating Model Code of Conduct

Respected Sirs,

We, at Citizens for Justice and Peace (CJP) are concerned about the many videos being circulated on social media of BJP MLA Raghvendra Pratap Singh representing Domariyaganj in Siddharthnagar district, UP, where he can be seen delivering hate speech and speech along communal lines, which is not only in contravention to the Model Code of Conduct issued by the Election Commission of India but also amounts to offences under the Indian Penal Code and the Representation of the People Act, 1951.

Singh can be seen addressing a crowd of voters during one of his campaigns and can be heard saying, "If you make me MLA again, they (Muslims) will stop wearing skullcaps and start applying tilaks." He also claims that after he got elected in the last election, "250 acres of land belonging to Muslims got confiscated, their shops got destroyed." He then mentions names of certain areas which had Islamic names got changed to Hindu names during his tenure as MLA example: Bewa got renamed to Bajrangi Chowk, Allahpur got renamed to Yoginagar, Hasinabad got renamed to Nandichowk and so on.

Then he asks the crowd, "Will there be Jai Shri Ram or Walekum Salam in Domariyaganj? Durga ji is here with us, promise once in front of her." The crowd also responds saying "Jai Shri Ram!"

He goes on to say, "There is threat of 'Vote Katw@s' (opposition Hindu candidates contesting election) more than Katw@s (slur for Muslim men)."

**The clippings of the video as available on social media and downloaded by CJP is marked and annexed as Annexures A, B and C**

In another video, which is at a different location and in the day time, he is seen addressing a crowd amidst calls of azaan on the loudspeaker, "Arey H\*\*\*\*\*khoru suno, kal tumhara mic check karaunga aur agar permission nahi hoga toh tumhare masjid se mic utarwa dunga... Kal inka permission ka kaagaz check hoga (\*use of expletive\* Tomorrow I will get your mic checked and if you do not have the permission (to use it) the mic will be confiscated. Tomorrow their permission documents will be checked).

Basically, making a speech amidst the holy call for azaan, he verbally abused the Muslim community and addressed them saying that he will have it checked whether the mosque had the authority to use the speakers for azaan and if the requisite permission is not in place, then they will not be allowed to use the same. When he made this statement, it could be clearly seen that he crowd gathered around him got riled up and was happy when he made this declaration.

"Pehle roza iftar hota tha toh domariyaganj ki sadk band ki jati thi, gol topi dikhai deti thi, ab sab band hai ki nah? (Earlier, during Roza or Iftaar, the streets of Domariyaganj used to get blocked, round caps (Skull caps) could be seen but now all of this has stopped, right?)," he is heard saying and then urges the crowd to vote for BJP if they wish for this to continue.

**The clippings of the video as available on social media and downloaded by CJP is marked and annexed as Annexure D**

## **Violations**

### Model Code of Conduct

The above-mentioned speeches made by Singh stand in violation of the following sections of Code of Conduct:

#### **I. General Conduct**

- (1) No party or candidate shall include in any activity which may aggravate existing differences or create mutual hatred or cause tension between different castes and communities, religious or linguistic.
- (3) There shall be no appeal to caste or communal feelings for securing votes. Mosques, Churches, Temples or other places of worship shall not be used as forum for election propaganda.
- (4) All parties and candidates shall avoid scrupulously all activities which are "corrupt practices" and offences under the election law, such as bribing of voters, intimidation of voters, impersonation of voters, canvassing within 100 meters of polling stations, holding public meetings during the period of 48 hours ending with the hour fixed for the close of the poll, and the transport and conveyance of voters to and from polling station.

In ECI letter No. 437/6/INST/2013/CC&BE, dated 28.11.2013 addressed to the President/General Secretary of all recognised National and State Political Parties, had made note of plummeting levels of political discourse witnessed during the ongoing election campaign for the 5 State Assembly elections,

namely Chhattisgarh, Madhya Pradesh, Mizoram, Rajasthan and Delhi and mentioned that it had received a tide of complaints indicative of:

- (a) Mouthing of provocative and inflammatory statements' calculated to cause mutual hatred, disharmony or ill will,
- (b) Use of intemperate and abusive language transgressing the limits of decency, and
- (c) Attacks on the personal character and conduct of political rivals, in utterances & through posters/hoardings, tend to incite mutual hatred, disharmony or ill-will and aggravate the differences between different political parties and classes of citizens on the grounds of religion, caste, community, etc., and which the Model Code of Conduct dissuades from being resorted to.

A similar situation has emerged in the state of Uttar Pradesh as well. As can be clearly seen in the videos attached herewith, Singh has brazenly pitched Hindus against Muslims and has boasted of economic boycott of the Muslim community to rile up voters to vote for him and his party. All his appeals for votes in these videos are along religious lines which is in clear violation of the MCC as well as the Representation of people Act.

#### Representation of People Act

Singh stands in violation of the following sections of the Act:

**123. Corrupt practices.**—The following shall be deemed to be corrupt practices for the purposes of this Act:—

[(3) The appeal by a candidate or his agent or by any other person with the consent of a candidate or his election agent to vote or refrain from voting for any person on the ground of his religion, race, caste, community or language or the use of, or appeal to religious symbols or the use of, or appeal to, national symbols, such as the national flag or the national emblem, for the furtherance of the prospects of the election of that candidate or for prejudicially affecting the election of any candidate

(3A) The promotion of, or attempt to promote, feelings of enmity or hatred between different classes of the citizens of India on grounds of religion, race, caste, community, or language, by a candidate or his agent or any other person with the consent of a candidate or his election agent for the furtherance of the prospects of the election of that candidate or for prejudicially affecting the election of any candidate

**[125. Promoting enmity between classes in connection with election.**—Any person who in connection with an election under this Act promotes or attempts to promote on grounds of religion, race, caste, community or language, feelings of enmity or hatred, between different classes of the citizens of India shall be punishable, with imprisonment for a term which may extend to three years, or with fine, or with both.]

#### Indian Penal Code

The following offences under the IPC are applicable to the speeches made by Singh:

153A, 153B, 171C, 295A, 505(2)

**153A. Promoting enmity between different groups on ground of religion, race, place of birth, residence, language, etc., and doing acts prejudicial to maintenance of harmony.**

**153B. Imputations, assertions prejudicial to national integration**

**295A. Deliberate and malicious acts, intended to outrage religious feelings of any class by insulting its religion or religious beliefs**

**298. Uttering words, etc., with deliberate intent to wound religious feelings**

**505. Statements conducing to public mischief**

**505. (2) Statements creating or promoting enmity, hatred or ill-will between classes**

In *Abhiram Singh v. C.D. Commachen (Civil Appeal No. 37 of 1992; decided on January 2, 2017)*, a 7-judge bench decided whether the word 'his' under section 123(3) pertained to the identity of the candidate or his rival only (literal interpretation), or also extended to the identity of the voter/s (purposive interpretation). By a 4:3 margin, the court upheld the purposive interpretation of 'his' and thus proscribed any appeal pertaining to the identity of the candidate, his rival or the voter. This meant that electoral appeals to voters based on their religion is a "corrupt practice" which can result in declaring the election of the candidate as void and further disqualification for a period of six years.

Justice T.S. Thakur in his concurring judgment said,

"The State being secular in character will not identify itself with anyone of the religions or religious denominations. This necessarily implies that religion will not play any role in the governance of the country which must at all times be secular in nature. The elections to the State legislature or to the Parliament or for that matter or any other body in the State is a secular exercise just as the functions of the elected representatives must be secular in both outlook and practice. Suffice it to say that the Constitutional ethos forbids mixing of religions or religious considerations with the secular functions of the State."

In *Ziyauddin Burhanuddin Bukhari vs Brijmohan Ramdass Mehra 1975 SCR 453*, the Supreme Court held thus,

"As already indicated by us, our democracy can only survive if those who aspire to become people's representatives and leaders understand the spirit of secular democracy. That spirit was characterised by Montesquieu long ago as one of "virtue". It implies, as the late Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru once said, "self discipline". For such a spirit to prevail, candidates at elections have to try to persuade electors by showing them the light of reason and not by inflaming their blind and disruptive passions. Heresy hunting propaganda on professedly religious grounds directed against a candidate at an election may be permitted a theocratic state but not in a secular republic like ours. It is evident that, if such propaganda was permitted here, it would injure the interests of members of religious minority groups more than those of others. It is forbidden in this country in order to preserve the spirit of equality, fraternity, and amity

between rivals even during elections. Indeed, such prohibitions are necessary in the interests of elementary public peace and order.”

It further held,

“Therefore, candidates at an election to a legislature, which is a part of "the State", cannot be Allowed to tell electors that their rivals are unfit to act as their representatives on grounds of their religious professions or practices. To permit such propaganda would be not merely to permit undignified ;personal attacks on candidates concerned but also to allow assaults on what sustains the basic structure of our Democratic State.”

The above-mentioned are merely excerpts of some of the landmark judgements of the Supreme Court which run into pages and emphasize on upholding of secular character of the Constitution while holding that candidate for elections must at all costs avoid using any language that appeals to religion or that is against any religious community.

It is in this light that we urge, this Hon’ble Commission to take suitable and necessary action against BJP MLA Raghvendra Pratap Singh.

### **Our prayer**

We humbly pray, that you take cognisance of this serious violation of the Model Code of Conduct and Representation of People Act, 1951. We pray that you take strict action against the BJP, and pass necessary strictures against BJP MLA Raghvendra Pratap Singh, and also direct him to issue an unconditional public apology.

Yours sincerely,

Nandan Maluste, CJP President

Teesta Setalvad, CJP Secretary

List of Annexures:

Annexure A-C: The clippings of the video as available on social media and downloaded by CJP

Annexure D: The clippings of the video as available on social media and downloaded by CJP