

Tabular Presentation by Teesta Setalvad, Secretary *Citizens for Justice and Peace* before the Special Investigation Team (SIT) appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court through its Order dated 26.3.2008 following Part I, II and III Statements submitted at Gandhinagar on May 9, 2008

Date of Tabular Presentation May 29, 2008

Suggested Investigation of Wider Conspiracy and Common Design

Subject	Investigation	Sections	Cross Referencing to TS Statement and Annexures Submitted on 9.5.2002
<p>Role of chief minister and cabinet Copies of <i>Genocide – Gujarat 2002 (Communalism Combat</i>, March-April 2002) and the <i>Concerned Citizens Tribunal (CCT) Report – Crimes Against Humanity</i> (November 21-22.2002) have been submitted to the Special Investigation Team (SIT). The CCT was headed by Justice VR Krishna Iyer and included Justices PB Sawant and Hosbet Suresh and senior advocate KG Kannabiran.</p>	<p>SIT needs to Investigate Role of chief minister and his favoured among the cabinet and state administration and police to distort and spread the Godhra incident.</p> <p>SIT records the formal statement of the CCT as it contains pertinent information especially related to the wider, overall conspiracy and the Godhra incident.</p>	<p>Violation of 154 CrPC (procedure of registration of FIR) and worse the Suppression and Destruction of Evidence. This includes the fabricating of documents and causing disappearance of evidence immediately after the registration of the case and the continued the illegal act of causing disappearance of evidence till the dead bodies were buried. Further protecting the names of influential accused and arraigning wrong accused also amounts to a crime. Sections 120-B r/w 143, 147,</p>	<p>(Annexures 3 and 2 to Part II of Statement - Genocide-Gujarat 2002 and Concerned Citizens Tribunal (CCT) Report – Crimes Against Humanity (November 21-22.2002)</p> <p>Annexures 2 to Part II of Statement Page 17, Volume II-- Paras 4.1-4.8.</p>

		148, 149, 302, 376 (2) (e) and (g), 201, 217 and 218 IPC and substantive offences u/s 143, 147, 148, 149, 302, 376 (2) (e) and (g) and 201, 217, 218 IPC are attracted in the commission of all these offences.	
Godhra and Accident Converted to "ISI Conspiracy for Political expediency'	Collector of Godhra (2002) Smt Jayanthi Ravi met the CCT (Tribunal) and clearly stated that in her view it was an accident. Her statement needs to be recorded by SIT. She had also stated that she advised the chief minister and his cabinet <i>against</i> carrying the bodies of the Godhra victims to Ahmedabad (they had first expressed the intention that the entire burnt coach should be taken by rail to Ahmedabad) but did not succeed. Due to her stand they decided to take the bodies by road/motor cavalcade. Dr Jaideep Patel accused in the Naroda Gaon and Patiya massacres, state chief of the Vishwa Hindu Parishad accompanied this cavalcade. Who else did? SIT needs to investigate. Similarly the statements of chief minister Modi and his close associates in the cabinet Shri Ashok Bhatt also need to be recorded on this issue – decision to take burnt bodies to Godhra despite the fact that this	<i>Ibid.</i>	Annexures 2 to Part II of Statement Page, 17, Volume II –Para 4.3.

	<p>was likely to heighten communal tensions and against the advise of the DM, Godhra.</p> <p>Why did Modi and VHP colleagues go against the advise of the Godhra district administration?</p>		
<p>Prime Minister calls Godhra an Accident in Parliament at 4.30 p.m. on 27/2/2002</p> <p>Then prime minister Atal Behari Vajpayee clearly stating that the Godhra incident was a result of “slogan shouting.”</p>	<p>SIT needs to examine records of Gujarat Government and Reports immediately after the arson on 27.2.2002 and how they described Godhra, official communications also referred to it as an accident; after 7.30p,m Gujarati bulletin it was first referred to as an ISI conspiracy. SIT should inquire of the motive behind this.</p> <p>1. The State Home Secretary Shri S.C. Murmu (IAS – 1985), and Government pleader to JNSC and Shri Arvind Pandya had cajoled and even intimidated Shri. R. B. Sreekumar to depose about conspiracy behind the Godhra fire incident. Please see pages 9, 13 and 15 of Annexure-B of Sreekumar's Third Affidavit dated 9-4-2005. Audio recording or the interaction between Shri Murmu and Shri Sreekumar available. Shri Murmu, Shri Arvind Pandya Shri Sreekumar and others relevant persons relating to this transaction be examined and their statements be recorded.</p> <p>2. It appears that the conspiracy projected by the BJP about Godhra train fire incident was first pressed upon the DGP and Gujarat police by Shri Rajendra Kumar, Joint Director,</p>	Ibid.	<p>(Extracts from a compilation by the PMO on PM's reactions to the event thereafter to media persons at Hyderabad House, New Delhi, February 27, 2002 posted on the PM's website.</p> <p>Annexures 2 to Part II of Statement Page 17, Volume II, para 4.2 –</p>

	Central IB (formally called Joint Director, Subsidiary Intelligence Bureau (SIB). Please see Para 45 and 46 Page 37 of Fourth Affidavit by R. B. Sreekumar. Shri Rajendra Kumar and Shri K. Chakravarthi, the then DGP need to be questioned on the informations revealed in the above Affidavit and their statements recorded.		
<p>Was there a Common Design or Conspiracy behind the 2002 Genocide Carnage Hatched at the Highest Levels of the Gujarat Government?</p> <p>(Linked to this key Question of whether or not all the events being re-investigated by SIT are also part of a common design and conspiracy is linked critically to how the Godhra train incident was manipulated from being an 'accident' or response to slogan shouting to an 'ISI conspiracy'!</p>	<p>'The Tribunal received direct information through a testimony from a highly placed source of a meeting where the chief minister, two or three senior cabinet colleagues; the CP of Ahmedabad and an IG of police of the state were present. The meeting took place on the evening of February 27. The meeting had a singular purpose: the senior-most police officials were told that they should expect a "Hindu reaction" after Godhra. They were also told that they should not do anything to contain this reaction.'</p> <p>Since this finding of the CCT has a direct bearing on the suggested common design or state conspiracy behind the incidents under Investigation, the SIT may be advised to interrogate the persons who possibly are reported to have attended this meeting (all mentioned above and also examine their phone call records).</p> <p>SIT needs to verify from Shri Haren Pandya's (the minister who was then killed) father, Vithal Pandya, then DGP</p>	<p>The issues raised here are the issue of Chain Command Responsibility and Complicity at the Highest Level in Mass Murder.</p> <p>Sometimes existent sections of the Indian Penal Code seem wanting to describe the levels of connivance, premeditation and collusion that went into the Mass Murder and Mass Rape of Innocents.</p> <p>Hence this issue needs to be settled Fairly and Squarely.</p>	<p>Annexures 2 to Part II of Statement Page 81, Volume II, State Complicity, paras 1.1-1.4.</p> <p>Annexures 2 to Part II of Statement Page 82, Volume II, State Complicity, para 1.7</p> <p>Annexures 2 to Part II of Statement Page 82, Volume II, State Complicity, para 1.8</p>

	<p>Chakravarthi, then CP now DGP PC Pandey, then Chief Sec Subha Rao and chief minister Modi on how and when this meeting took place.</p> <p>CCT/The Tribunal also recorded a similar meeting on the evening of February 27 in the Lunawada Village of Sabarkantha district. A phone call from the house of one Dr Yogesh Ramanlal Pandya (Godhra) to Dr Anil Patel (member of Gujarat's Doctor's Cell) calling him for the meeting as also Dr Chandrakant Pandya (Kalol) Ashok Bhatt (then state health minister) who was at that point sitting at the Godhra Collectorate was also called. Similarly then state transport minister Prabhatsinh Chauhan also attended this meeting at which 40-50 top leadership of the BJP/RSS/VHP/Bajrang Dal met to decide the manner to use kerosene, petrol for arson and other methods of killing. The phone call records of these persons as also verification of the meeting needs to be undertaken by SIT to investigate possible conspiracy.</p>		
<p>State Complicity: Two Cabinet Ministers sat inside the Shahibaug (Ahmedabad city) and State (Gandhinagar Police Control Rooms, hitherto unknown conduct indicating a brazen level of political</p>	<p>Give the deliberate inaction by the police and destruction of evidence it appears that the presence of two Gujarat cabinet ministers in the Gandhinagar State and Shahibaug Ahmedbad City control rooms was part of a deliberate ploy and in extension of the motive and criminal conspiracy for a</p>	<p>Ibid.</p>	<p>Annexures 2 to Part II of Statement Page 87, Volume II, para 1.17</p>

<p>interference in police functioning. Gujarat's Health Minister sat at the city control room where the Commissioner of Police (PC Pandey) was present and Gujarat's urban development minister, IK Jadeja was present at the Gandhinagar State Control Room that day at which DGP Chakravarthi (now retired). Both the politicians and police officers need to be questioned by SIT since their conduct has a bearing on the major incidents being investigated today.</p>	<p>State Sponsored Mass genocidal Crime in 19 districts of the State of Gujarat of which 10 are now being Re-investigated by SIT.</p>		
<p>Role of High Levels of Gujarat Police</p> <p>Police chiefs of Ahmedabad, Mehsana, Panchmahals [Godhra] (and other districts not directly relevant to SIT) stand directly indicted for their failure to contain brutalized violence.</p>	<p>Gujarat's Health Minister sat at the city control room where the Commissioner of Police (PC Pandey) was present and Gujarat's urban development minister, IK Jadeja was present at the Gandhinagar State Control Room that day at which DGP Chakravarthi (now retired).</p> <p>Note: Both the politicians, Ashok Bhatt and IK Jadeja and police officers, PC Pandey and DGP Chakravarthi need to be questioned by SIT since their conduct has a bearing on the major incidents being investigated today.</p> <p>All these persons need to be questioned by SIT on the preventive measures taken</p> <p>Following Senior IAS/IPS Officers Need to be</p>	<p>Intimidation and Coercion of witnesses is a criminal offence under the IPC and refusal to give adequate protection despite Supreme Court orders amounts to Contempt of the Supreme Court. The issues raised here are the issue of Chain Command Responsibility and Complicity at the Highest Level in Mass Murder.</p>	<p>Annexures 2 to Part II of Statement Page 87, Volume II, para 1.17</p> <p>Annexures 2 to Part II of Statement Page 87, Volume II, Concerned Citizens Tribunal, para 1.18, Page 87, Volume II, para 1.20</p>

	<p>Questioned by the highest echelons of SIT on the issues of Failure to Investigate and Punish the Guilty and thereby Obstruct the Deliverance of Justice</p> <p>SIT Must Interrogate Shri K Chakravarthi at the crucial moments in 2002 the DGP Gujarat needs to be Questioned by SIT; Shri K Nityananandam (IPS, 1977) who was Home Secretary from 2001-2005 needs also to be questioned; DR P.K. Mishra (IAS, 1972) then PS to the Chief Minister needs to be Questioned on the Overall Supervision of the Violence and Steps Taken (or Not) to Contain It; SIT needs to Question also Shri Subha Rao (IAS, 1965) then Chief secretary on the same issues; Shri Ashok Narayanan (IAS, 1966) Batch who was in 2002 ACS home also needs to be questioned on these Critical Issues; Then CP and today DGP Gujarat PC Pandey on his personal Failure as a Commissioner of Police and also on possible Instructions he received from top political brass to shield the guilty and not contain violence; Then Cabinet Ministers Ashok Bhatt (formerly minister for Health now for Law and Judiciary?) and IK Jadeja (Then and now minister) for sitting in the Ahmedabad City, Shahibaug and State, Gandhinagar Control Rooms to Assist/Obstruct the Constitutional Role of the Police;</p>	<p>Sometimes existent sections of the Indian Penal Code seem wanting to describe the levels of connivance, premeditation and collusion that went into the Mass Murder and Mass Rape of Innocents.</p> <p>Hence this issue needs to be settled Fairly and Squarely.</p>	
--	--	---	--

	<p>Gordhan Zadaphiya (former Minister for Home) on all these critical issues related to 2002; Amit Shah presently Home Minister of Gujarat State on Issues of Subversion of Justice; Dr Kaushik Mehta, Jt. Sec, Vishwa Hindu Parishad who has filed an affidavit before the Commission; Rajendra Singh Rana, spokesperson of the ruling BJP who called the Bandh; Collectors of Ahmdebad, Pnchmahal (Godhra), Mehsana and Anand; SPs of these same districts; IG Range Officers of these districts; Shri KK Shastri Chairman of the Gujarat Unit of the VHP who claimed that they had detailed lists of Muslim areas to attack. SIT also needs to question Shri Maniram, IPS, then ADGP in charge of law and order; GC Raiger, IPS, 1972, then ADGP Intelligence; Nalin Bhatt, in 2002 General Secretary Bharatiya Janata Party</p>		
<p>Role of Sister Organisations of the Ruling BJP, the RSS, VHP & BD</p>	<p>Reference March 12, 2002 to an Interview to Rediff.Com by Gujarat state VHP chief KK Shastri stating. "In the morning we sat down (February 28) and prepared the list (of Muslim shops and establishments to be targeted. We were not prepared in advance." To date the Gujarat State Police has not seen fit to initiate any inquiry or question KK Shahstri despite his self-confession of criminal misconduct.</p>	<p>Ibid.</p>	<p>Annexures 2 to Part II of Statement, Page 82, Volume II, para 1.6</p> <p>&</p> <p>Annexure III Colly of Confidential Letter of PC Pandey of 2002 and Annexures to former DGP Mahapatra Affidavit (CONFIDENTIAL) placed before Nanavati Shah Commission and</p>

	Shastri, Other VHP and BJP Office Bearers need to be questioned by SIT. In former DGO Mahapatra Affidavit Before the Nanavati-Shah Commission clearly show that VHP/BD are the Accused. Why was this fact sheltered politically? It needs to be Investigated by SIT.		sent to me anonymously
<i>Bandh Call and the Preparations</i>	The call for Bandh by the ruling Party and affiliate organizations, and the acquiescence by the State of Gujarat and Its Police needs to be Examined by SIT. The Ruling Party Itself Calling a Bandh; the absence of normal road movement allowing Crowds free reign etc, these are issues that need to be examined by SIT.	<i>Ibid.</i>	Annexures 2 to Part II of Statement Page 18, Volume II, Concerned Citizens Tribunal, para 5.1.
<i>Some Questions Related to Conspiracy and Common Design that need to be Investigated by SIT. These Relate to State Government Functioning and Police Conduct.</i>	This means that chief minister, senior cabinet colleagues at the relevant time and then CP Ahmedabad, now DGP PC Pandey, then DGP Chakravarthi and Other Key Persons in Administrative/Executive and Controlling Posts should be questioned by SIT.	<i>Ibid.</i>	See Annexure I and II to Part Three of Statement & Annexure 6- Searching Questions on State Complicity that need to be Put to the Executive and Gujarat Police
<i>Preplanning and Conspiracy</i> State Intelligence reports (annexed to RB Sreekumar Four Affidavits) and Former ADGO Intelligence Mahapatra's Affidavit in the Second Affidavit to the Justice Nanavati and Shah Commission	The SIT needs to examine the extent to which such a deeprooted anti-minority prejudice was at work; how this subverted the Criminal Justice System etc	The issues raised here are the issue of Chain Command Responsibility and Complicity at the Highest Level in Mass Murder. Sometimes existent sections of the Indian Penal	Four Affidavits of RB Sreekumar former Additional Director General of Police Need to Be examined by SIT comprehensively including the Annexures to One, Two and Three and Four B. Reports of Provocative behaviour by <i>kar sevaks and members</i>

<p>(JNSC) by R.B. Sreekumar (RBS), the then ADGP (INT) had appended 4 reports sent by the State Intelligence to the State Home Department and DGP about anti-minority prejudice betrayed by the Police and the Criminal Justice System (CJS). Please see Appendix. II to VII to the above Affidavit dated 6-10-2004. This Affidavit was in response to the second terms of reference to JNSC by the Government of Gujarat as per its notification dated 20 July 2004.</p> <p>Though this State Intelligence in its reports dated (A) 24.4.2002, (B) 15-6-2002, (C) 20-8-2002 and (D) 28-8-2002, delineated pin pointed trends of subversion of CJS and suggested remedial measures, the higher authorities, Additional DGP, Chief Secretary (Home), DGP etc did not initiate any curative action. Nor did they question the veracity of assessments in these intelligence reports. This major</p>		<p>Code seem wanting to describe the levels of connivance, premeditation and collusion that went into the Mass Murder and Mass Rape of Innocents.</p> <p>Hence this issue needs to be settled Fairly and Squarely.</p>	<p><i>of the BHP/VHP/BD, including trishul distribution and sloganeering documented by SIB. This needs to be Investigated by SIT</i></p>
---	--	---	--

dereliction of duty by DGP and other Senior Officers is also violative of Gujarat Police Manual – Vol III, Rules 24, 134, 135, Rule 240. Relevant Officers need to be questioned and then statements be recorded by the SIT.			
Arms Entry Into Gujarat by BJP MLAS (Tehelka's Operation Kalank) – please see Tabular Presentation on Gulberg Sardarpura and Godhra and also Annexure 7 and 8 to this Tabular Presentation & Also Description and Use of White Chemical Powder and Weapons Used in All Gujarat attacks in 2002 and also found in Sabarmati Express Coach. What was this powder? Was it also part of the preplanning and conspiracy?		Ibid.	Neerja Gotru, DIG (Coordination - Interpol Cell) formerly In Charge of the Team Re-Investigating 2,000 cases appointed by the Supreme Court has recorded evidence to show that a white chemical powder (also possibly found in the Godhra train compartment) was used to destroy flesh and possibly a substance imported. The materials used to destroy bodies to ugly shells needs to be investigated by SIT.
Conspiracy Behind Gulberg & Naroda Incidents All accounts of the Gulberg Incident, the intensity and duration of the attack, the fact that	One reason for this is Shri Ahsan Jaffri, a trade unionist of renown and repute who made over 200 phone calls begging for help. Among the last phone calls was to the chief minister, Shri Modi.	Ibid.	

<p>Shri PC Pandey (then CP Ahmedabad visited the site, assured security but failed to deliver all suggest a particular conspiracy behind the two Ahmedabad located mass carnage incidents, both Gulberg Society and Naroda Patiya and Gaon. Ahsan Jaffri's campaign in Rajkot against the chief minister Modi (mentioned in Tabular Presentation on Gulberg. B.Post Mortem Reports of Bodies of the Gulberg Massacre are not being provided easily by Civil hospital. C. When many of the Gulberg society residents managed to escape bodies were recognizable, Erda and Tandon were present. After this they were completely charred and destroyed. City and State Control Room records, Station Diary Entries, Case Diaries all need to be studied and verified for the happenings of these days. D. Was any videography</p>	<p>Witness accounts state that the abuse he heard when he called fatalistically convinced him that he had to give up his life, because he was the target. His phone records have vanished since that day. His telephone records need to be obtained and examined by SIT. Jaffri to Rajkot need to be questioned. This is another indicator of Jaffri being a specific target. On March 6, 2002 the Times of India, Ahmedabad reported that chief minister visited both Gulberg Society and Naroda Patiya. He did not meet any survivors there or at the camps. He was accompanied by former deputy mayor Jagrup Singh named as an accused in the Gulberg Massacre.</p> <p>After survivors fled (they were continuously attacked even then), a macabre dance of death was obviously allowed by the police as when the remains were buried on March 3, 2002 they were charged and decomposed pieces beyond recognition. This shows that after the committal of the offence, further offences were committed after policemen arrived at the spot and then bodies became unrecognizable. Policemen may need to be made co-accused in this massacre.</p> <p>Panchnamas show delayed Videography. The SIT needs to find out reasons for this and who are the officers top to bottom responsible.</p>		
--	---	--	--

<p>carried out when mobs attacked Gulberg, Naroda, Sardapura, Odh, Deepla Darwaza taken immediately after? The BEST Bakery re-trial revealed a state police CD..so are there such CDs related to these massacres?</p>	<p>Telephone Records of all the Persons Named Above whether they be politicians (chief minister and his cabinet), senior police officers and senior IAS officers need to be investigated (Subha Rao, Ashok Narayan, Late Haren Pandya, Ashok Bhatt, Amit Shah, Prabhatsinh Chauhan and local leaders to ensure that the investigation restores Public Confidence.</p>		
<p>Role of the Chief Minister on February 28, 2002. Similarly <i>Tehelka</i> reports in two or three other video interviews the direct role-played by chief minister in fomenting the violence and congratulating and shielding the perpetrators.</p>	<p>Indicators are that for two and a half to three hours on February 28, 2002, Narendra Modi broke with his security cover and went on a private visit. <i>Tehelka's Operation Kalank</i> has Suresh Chara Richard boasting of raping women and claiming <i>on camera</i> that the chief minister came and garlanded him personally that day for a job well done. Is there a co-relation?</p> <p>This has a direct bearing on the possible wider conspiracy behind the cases under re-Investigation.</p>	<p>The issues raised here are the issue of Chain Command Responsibility and Complicity at the Highest Level in Mass Murder.</p> <p>Sometimes existent sections of the Indian Penal Code seem wanting to describe the levels of connivance, premeditation and collusion that went into the Mass Murder and Mass Rape of Innocents.</p> <p>Hence this issue needs to be settled Fairly and</p>	

		Squarely.	
Discrepancy in Missing Person Records and Discriminatory Handling, Callous Handling of Dead Bodies of Victims	here appears a clear policy to callously dump missing bodies of the dead of the post-Godhra massacres while the bodies of the dead in the Sabarmati Coach S-6 Burning were paraded by sympathetic politicians. The SIT needs to interrogate whether or not Missing Persons Lists of Godhra Victims were placed and advertised in local papers; and what was the pattern in the post Godhra massacres?		
State Complicity in Bail (which implies influencing the Police, prosecutor and the Courts) And in the Appointment of Sectarian PPs & Intimidation of Witnesses	The Earlier tabulations on Gulberg, Naroda, Sardarpur, Ode and Godhra point out the clearly Discriminatory Patterns in Seeking and Granting of Bail to the Godhra and post Godhra cases accused; Is there a Design and Motive in this Pattern? Similarly in the Appointment of PPS also		See Annexure VI in Part Three of the Statement that Contains Full Text of the SC Judgement
Other Broad Issues that need to be Addressed in the Re-Investigation and Reflect in the New FIRs/Fresh Chargesheets that will be finalized by SIT Role of the Police & Allegations of Police Complicity The role of the police during the entire carnage and its aftermath has been by and large dubious in terms of			Annexures Mentioned Earlier

<p>inaction and complicity during the riots, refusal to lodge and doctoring of FIRs, scuttling of investigations, etc. There also serious allegations of involvement and interference by politicians. Police alleged to have been actually involved in rioting at a number of places</p> <p>2.Statements have been made by the victims in a number of cases such as Gulberg, Sardarpura, Ode and Naroda Gam and Patiya etc. that police actually participated in the carnage or instigated those who were rioting.</p> <p>3. Press Reports consistently highlighted police inaction during the carnage.</p>			
<p>Hate Speech Before and During 2002</p>	<p>The Contribution of Hate Speech to Fomenting Violence and the Inaction on Organisations, Individuals and Publications who indulged in the same was also a key pattern and factor of the mass carnages of Gujarat 2002</p> <p>Also see</p>	<p><i>Ibid.</i></p>	<p>Annexure 6 of Part II of TS Statement before SIT dated 9.5.2008 &</p> <p>Annexure 2 Colly-CCT 2002, Volumes I, 2 and 3, Page 258-270</p> <p>Volume I, Concerned Citizens Tribunal</p>
<p>Challenge for SIT</p>	<p>The appointment of the SIT has redeemed faith. Yet</p>	<p><i>Ibid.</i></p> <p>The issues</p>	<p>See Annexure to All tabulations being</p>

	<p>there is skepticism. Specifically the appointment of Noel Parmar to the Godhra re-investigation (facing charges of faulty chargesheets) until the public outcry due to which he was removed was one. Similarly we have complained to the SIT about the some of the practices while recording of statements of Victims and Witnesses.</p> <p>It bears repetition that the Victim survivors are functioning under great threat and intimidation still so practices like taking videos without warning and hostile questioning can put them off.</p> <p>2. While we have implicit faith in the functioning of SIT and the ongoing re-investigation we are concerned over the fact that it is the same state government and administration responsible <i>possibly</i> for the genocidal carnage, including doctoring of the Godhra incident that is in power today, that it is vindictive towards upright and honest officers and rewarding of those who shield the guilty; hence the responsibility on the SIT Re-Investigation deepens and widens, making it a rare challenge.</p>	<p>raised here are the issue of Chain Command Responsibility and Complicity at the Highest Level in Mass Murder.</p> <p>Sometimes existent sections of the Indian Penal Code seem wanting to describe the levels of connivance, premeditation and collusion that went into the Mass Murder and Mass Rape of Innocents.</p> <p>Hence this issue needs to be settled Fairly and</p>	Submitted Today
<p>POLITICAL INTERFERENCE</p> <p>1. Allegations that a number of politicians were</p>	<p>Conclusions of the Hon. Supreme Court related to the State of affairs in Gujarat in 2002; the conduct of Political Masters; the Subversion of the Criminal</p>		See Annexure VI in Part Three of the Statement that Contains Full Text of the SC Judgement

involved at various stages of the carnage and even subsequently interfered in investigations. 2. By and large the police refused to name any politician or activists of certain organizations in the FIRs that are being re-investigated 3. The few politicians who were named in the FIRs have been dropped from the Chargesheets. (Mentioned in Specific Cases) 4. Those policemen who controlled the riots or took action against policemen or politicians have been transferred. 5. Some of the following politicians who have participated in the violence (been accused of manipulating the Godhra train burning and thereafter masterminding a state-sponsored genocidal carnage for which preparation has been made months in advance) have been reelected and are likely to further hamper investigations and subvert the criminal justice	Justice System, Appointment of Public Prosecutors, Defence Counsel, Police and even the Gujarat Courts – in Appeal (cri) 446-449 of 2004, <i>Zahira Habibulla H Shaikh & Anr v/s State of Gujarat & Ors</i> dated April 12, 2004		
---	--	--	--

<p>system. That is why there is genuine concern that the SIT re-investigation looks into the aspect of command responsibility behind these allegations and indicators.</p> <p>These names are only illustrative:</p> <p>Dr. Maya Kodnani- MLA & Minister of Women and Child Welfare</p> <p>Ashok Bhatt- Speaker in the Gujarat Assembly, former Minister of Law and Judiciary</p> <p>Amit Shah, state home minister</p> <p>IK Jadeja- former Minister for Health and Family Welfare</p> <p>Prabhatsinh Chauhan- former Minister for Cow Protection</p> <p>Dr. Jaideep Patel, state VHP chief</p> <p>Niteenkumar Ratilal Patel, former and present MLA and minister for Water Supply and Water Resources</p> <p>Prabhatsinh Chauhan, former state cabinet minister</p> <p>Narendra Modi, chief minister, in 2002 and in 2008</p>			
--	--	--	--

Ends

